

IN RE: 11/15/2016

TAPE RECORDED CAUCUS MEETING

CITY OF BROOK PARK

- - -

TRANSCRIBED BY: ANGELA WACHSMAN

- - -

REVISED BY THE CLERK OF COUNCIL AS INSTRUCTED BY CITY COUNCIL

COUNCIL PRESIDENT - MR. ASTORINO

COUNCILWOMAN MCCORMICK

COUNCILMAN TROYER

COUNCILMAN MENCINI

COUNCILWOMAN POWERS

COUNCILMAN SCOTT

COUNCILMAN BURGIO

COUNCILMAN SALVATORE

MAYOR COYNE

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMISSIONER - MR. DOLAN

LAW DIRECTOR - MRS. HORVATH

FINANCE DIRECTOR - MR. CINGLE

CITY ENGINEER - MR. PIATAK

SERVICE DIRECTOR - MR. CAYET

CLERK - MICHELLE BLAZAK

- - -

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 THE CLERK: Testing, testing.

3 Today is Tuesday, November 15th. We have
4 a Caucus Meeting at 6:00 and a Council
5 Meeting to follow at 7:00 p.m. Sounds
6 good.

7 COUNCIL PRESIDENT: Good evening,
8 everyone. Welcome to the Tuesday,
9 November 15th, 2016 Regular Caucus Meeting
10 for the City of Brook Park. I'll ask the
11 Clerk to please call the role.

12 THE CLERK: Scott?

13 MR. SCOTT: Here.

14 THE CLERK: Burgio?

15 MR. BURGIO: Yes.

16 THE CLERK: Salvatore?

17 MR. SALVATORE: Here.

18 THE CLERK: Troyer?

19 MR. TROYER: Here.

20 THE CLERK: Powers?

21 MR. TROYER: Here.

22 THE CLERK: McCormick?

23 MR. MCCORMICK: Here.

24 THE CLERK: Mencini?

25 MR. MENCINI: Here.

1 COUNCIL PRESIDENT: Can we have
2 everyone stand and pledge allegiance.

3 (Pledge of Allegiance recited.)

4 COUNCIL PRESIDENT: Okay, thank you. We'll
5 move to number three, Approval
6 of the Minutes of the Proceeding Meetings.
7 We had a Regular Caucus Meeting that was
8 held on May 10th, 2016. Council, what is
9 your pleasure?

10 MR. MENCINI: Motion to approve
11 those minutes from May 10th.

12 MS. MCCORMICK: Support.

13 COUNCIL PRESIDENT: Okay. There
14 has been a motion to approve, properly
15 supported. Any discussion? Seeing no
16 one, Clerk, call the role.

17 THE CLERK: Mencini?

18 MR. MENCINI: Yes.

19 THE CLERK: McCormick?

20 MS. MCCORMICK: Yes.

21 THE CLERK: Powers?

22 MS. POWERS: Yes.

23 THE CLERK: Troyer?

24 MR. TROYER: Okay. Which ones are
these?

1 COUNCIL PRESIDENT: The May 10th
2 Caucus Meeting.

3 MR. TROYER: Council for the 10th?
4 That is a good one, yes.

5 THE CLERK: Salvatore?

6 MR. SALVATORE: Yes.

7 THE CLERK: Burgio -- oh, sorry.
8 Scott?

9 MR. SCOTT: Yes.

10 COUNCIL PRESIDENT: Okay. The May
11 10th Caucus Meeting Minutes have been
12 approved. That completes items under
13 number three.

14 Moving to four, which is
15 Discussion. You have Item No. 1 as
16 Council Office Technology - Per Council
17 President Astorino. What I want to say,
18 Council, is that I talked to the Mayor
19 just before the meeting. He suggested
20 that I get in touch with H.R. this week.
21 So I would ask that maybe we make a motion
22 just to place this on next week's Caucus
23 for a discussion.

24 **Mr. SALVATORE:** So moved.

25 MR. MENCINI: Support.

1 COUNCIL PRESIDENT: Seeing no
2 discussion, we'll call the role, please.

3 THE CLERK: Salvatore?

4 MR. SALVATORE: Yes.

5 THE CLERK: Mencini?

6 MR. MENCINI: Yes.

7 THE CLERK: McCormick?

8 MS. MCCORMICK: Yes.

9 THE CLERK: Powers?

10 MS. POWERS: Yes.

11 THE CLERK: Troyer?

12 MR. TROYER: Yes.

13 THE CLERK: Scott?

14 MR. SCOTT: Yes.

15 THE CLERK: Okay.

16 COUNCIL PRESIDENT: Okay.

17 COUNCIL PRESIDENT: Item No. 2 is
18 a Department of Liquor Control for all
19 American Bars Corporation, which was
20 formerly Fox's Den at 15200 Brookpark
21 Road. We received a notification from the
22 Ohio Division of Liquor Control. The
23 request is that if the Council would like
24 to have a hearing, we need to notify them

1 about a hearing. I'm not sure if either
2 the Mayor or the Law Director or Economic
3 Development have any feedback on this or
4 input on this. This was Fox's Den that
5 changed owners and I think they're just
6 transferring the liquor license.

7 Council Salvatore?

8 MR. SALVATORE: Thank you,
9 Mr. Chairman.

10 I spoke to the Mayor.

11 Mayor, we haven't had any formal
12 complaints about this particular location,
13 have we?

14 THE MAYOR: I don't think they
15 have very many customers to have any
16 problems. I hate to say that, but he did
17 do a -- he really improved the place --
18 and that's sad. So I guess
19 this guy is going to try to make a run of
20 it. But, no, I'm not aware of any
21 complaints.

22 MR. SALVATORE: Okay. Thank you.

23 COUNCIL PRESIDENT: Commissioner
24 Dolan?

25 MR. DOLAN: From the Chair to the

1 Council, the only basis for an objection
2 to a transfer of ownership is whether or
3 not the transferee has a criminal
4 background or is prohibited otherwise by
5 law, and I don't think there is any
6 evidence of that. But if you wanted to --
7 the only way to find out is to have a
8 hearing, and then have the Police
9 Department run background checks on
10 everybody. But I'm not quite sure it's
11 necessary. And even if there is nothing,
12 if this passes anyway, it has to be a
13 crime that the new ownership shows that
14 they're not fit to own the -- hold the
15 liquor license and it will negatively
16 affect the peaceful order and sobriety of
17 the community by virtue of their
18 ownership, and that's a pretty high
19 hurdle.

20 COUNCIL PRESIDENT: Councilman
21 Troyer?

22 MR. TROYER: Thank you,
23 Mr. Chairman. Anybody that can answer
24 this knows the answer. The question: Are
25 these the same owners that had it at the

1 Fox's Den? Has it changed all three times
2 or --

3 **MAYOR COYNE:** As far as I know, it
4 has been in the same hands for a long
5 time. It's just a transfer of the
6 license. It's not the ownership of the
7 property.

8 **MR. TROYER:** Okay. So it's
9 through the Fox's Den to this restaurant
10 and then now that it's this --

11 **MAYOR COYNE:** That's correct.

12 **MR. TROYER:** -- it's the same
13 owner?

14 **MAYOR COYNE:** That's correct.

15 **MR. TROYER:** Okay. Thanks.

16 **MAYOR COYNE:** That's correct. The
17 same owner of the property, not of the
18 business.

19 **MR. TROYER:** Oh, okay. So this
20 will be a new business owner?

21 **MAYOR COYNE:** That's correct.

22 **MR. TROYER:** Okay.

23 **MR. SALVATORE:** Mr. Chairman, if
24 there is no other question, the motion was
25 discussed.

1 MR. MENCINI: Support.

2 COUNCIL PRESIDENT: Okay. There
3 has been a motion that this was discussed,
4 properly supported.

5 Clerk, please call the role.

6 THE CLERK: Salvatore?

7 MR. SALVATORE: Yes.

8 THE CLERK: Mencini?

9 MR. MENCINI: Yes.

10 THE CLERK: McCormick?

11 MS. MCCORMICK: Yes.

13 THE CLERK: Powers?

14 MS. POWERS: Yes.

15 THE CLERK: Troyer?

16 MR. TROYER: Yes.

17 THE CLERK: Scott?

18 MR. SCOTT: Yes.

19 COUNCIL PRESIDENT: Okay. Item
20 No. 2 has been discussed. We'll move to
21 Item No. 3. We listed a 2017 Home
22 Maintenance Assistance Grant Program for
23 Discussion. As you know, we're wrapping up
24 the 2016 program and we are looking to
25 have some discussion to see if we need to

1 make any -- would like to make any
2 changes. Council was provided copies of
3 the two ordinances that implemented last
4 year. We have the Commissioner here to
5 answer any questions or give us some
6 direction on it. So I'll open up the
7 floor to discussion.

8 Councilwoman Powers?

9 MS. POWERS: Thank you,
10 Mr. Chairman. One thing I would like to
11 see changed is to have it more -- I don't
12 know how you would do it, but you need to
13 get more people notified and I think you
14 could do it through sending letters to
15 people in the community, because this year
16 I heard from a lot of people who said, I
17 didn't know anything about it. So they
18 were not -- since they didn't know about
19 it, they couldn't apply for it. I
20 would also like to say that anyone who
21 received help this past year, that they go
22 to the bottom of the list because they
23 shouldn't be receiving more funds until
24 everybody in the City that's qualified has
25 the opportunity to partake of this

1 program.

2 COUNCIL PRESIDENT: Okay.

3 Councilwoman McCormick?

4 MS. MCCORMICK: One of the items
5 that was brought up in previous
6 discussions is when we first passed this
7 at the beginning of the year, one of the
8 things that a lot of us had agreed upon is
9 that there should be -- there should have
10 been an initial application period and it
11 doesn't look like that ever made it into
12 the actual wording like we had wanted. It
13 just says, "First come, first serve
14 basis." So that was one of the changes.

15 Let's see. Oh. And then another
16 change, I know that the Economic
17 Development Commissioner said that the
18 policy would be to not give a loan to
19 somebody who had already gotten it for,
20 what, three to five years, I believe, that
21 he said, after they had already gotten
22 one. But I would like to see it in
23 writing, just priority to be given to new
24 applicants. And that's all.

25 COUNCIL PRESIDENT: Commissioner

1 Dolan, if I can ask you a quick question
2 as far as the loan program: What is the
3 length of the term? Is it a five-year
4 term?

5 MR. DOLAN: Five years at prime.
6 and on the point to the Councilwoman Powers'
7 suggestion for an
8 amendment on that, that certainly would be
9 well taken, to amend the existing
10 legislation to say that anybody who
11 participates receives a grant or a loan is
12 ineligible for a period of five years. I
13 don't think that's what I would -- that's
14 what I would tell them anyway that you
15 have to wait.

16 I think at the last Caucus
17 meeting, Councilwoman McCormick asked if
18 there should be any other changes to the
19 existing legislation. Council previously
20 amended the original legislation to remove
21 the matching fund requirement for low
22 income people because nobody in that
23 bracket found themselves with the matching
24 funds necessary to do work in their home.
25 I think that same condition ought to apply to

1 people that are getting loans, since
2 they're paying the funds back, I don't
3 think it's really necessary to require
4 them to have matching funds for a loan.
5 It's simply a home improvement loan. But
6 three people who have applied for a loan
7 have all had bonafide projects, and I just
8 think it's an unnecessary restriction on
9 residents who might want to get a loan and
10 aren't qualified for a grant, that you
11 say, you know, "Hey, you have to have
12 matching funds on this." I think it's --
13 that requirement is unnecessary and the
14 legislation should be amended.

15 To the "first come, first serve,"
16 it basically works that way anyway. The
17 only thing that would cause a problem with
18 that is when we get the applications and
19 they respond, if they don't respond
20 completely and they don't provide the
21 necessary information. If the person
22 behind them does respond completely and
23 provide all necessary information and gets
24 approved, then they get processed first
25 and I hold everybody else in position.

1 But if you try and say, "first come, first
2 serve," some of these people just never
3 respond, you know. You ask them for the
4 clarification or to send in additional
5 estimates and they want me to go get the
6 estimate, they just don't ultimately
7 respond or they take forever to respond.
8 I don't think somebody who applies
9 subsequently and complies with all the
10 requirements should be held in abeyance
11 pending somebody who isn't prompt and
12 timely in providing their paperwork or
13 their estimates. So you get in the
14 program "First come, first serve," but if
15 you don't complete it, you don't get
16 guaranteed a spot and money preserved
17 until you comply in all respects.

18 So I don't think that last
19 amendment would be worthwhile.

20 COUNCIL PRESIDENT: Councilman
21 Mencini?

22 MR. MENCINI: Thank you,
23 Mr. Chairman.

24 Mike, on that, I mean, I did get
25 calls. I tell most people -- I mean, some

1 might be qualified, some not. There is
2 probably a few I told, "You probably won't
3 make it," but I did tell them to call you.
4 I mean, I did get calls. On that, when we
5 covered this in the Caucus Meeting last
6 time, there were some blanks on that sheet
7 of the people who qualified last time.
8 Obviously one was a death. Now, the ones
9 that had nothing, they had no
10 construction, no money, they were the ones
11 that just never failed to come back and
12 bring you the paperwork. Okay. Thank
13 you. On that, when you get the calls --
14 because I could imagine most of them
15 probably when you tell them what the
16 parameters are, they might back drag a
17 little bit or say, "Hey, I'm not going buy
18 it or I don't think I'm going to qualify,"
19 how many of the people that call you end-up
20 up qualifying, on an average? You could
21 throw a figure out, just close.

22 COUNCIL PRESIDENT: Just make it
23 up, guys.

24 MR. MENCINI: Throw it at the dart
25 board.

1 COUNCIL PRESIDENT: Make up the
2 facts.

3 MR. MENCINI: Yeah.

4 MR. DOLAN: Some, but not all.
5 How's that? You know, just this week, I
6 probably had ten, fifteen people call.
7 You know, the process is if you call and
8 inquire, I send you an introductory letter
9 with the legislation, with the
10 questionnaire, and instruct you to fill
11 out the questionnaire, return it with your
12 tax return from the last year and, you
13 know, you'd be surprised. Some will come
14 in the next day. A lady today said,
15 "Well, I'll fill it out and I'd like to
16 bring it in today." I said, "There is no
17 money in here." She goes, "I want to
18 bring it in and sit with you." Now,
19 that's good for her. There are some
20 people that you sell to, send it to and
21 they don't respond. When they do respond,
22 the questionnaire is not filled out or
23 they don't have their complete tax return
24 and you have to send them a letter and
25 back and forth it goes on. There are

1 other people who say, "I need help finding
2 a contractor, who should I call," and
3 we're not in the business of recommending
4 contractors, so you would send them over
5 to the **CHAMBER** of Commerce and you may
6 hear from them, you may not.

7 There are truly some people out
8 there who are special needs and they need
9 help. I had one-person last week who
10 clearly was in a special needs situation,
11 was functional but needed assistance, and
12 I asked that person to have their county
13 caseworker call me so that I could ask
14 them to help that person procure the
15 necessary estimates for the project.

16 So, you know, it all varies. I
17 don't think it's -- you know, if you come
18 in and you're tenth to apply, but you get
19 your stuff in and the first guy kind of
20 strings the program along, I mean, it's
21 free money, you know. You'd think you'd
22 be jumping at that and taking advantage of
23 that. The one guy, after months, finally
24 came and he was approved and he finally
25 brought the loan documents back. It took

1 forever and that was all on them. So,
2 quite frankly --

3 MR. MENCINI: But you had some --
4 go ahead.

5 MR. DOLAN: -- and had he come
6 back -- and I had already given all the
7 money away in grants and other loans, and
8 people would have acted promptly, I would
9 have just said, "Hey, you know, you're out
10 of luck there is no money. You had this
11 stuff, you should have responded. It took
12 you months to do it. There is no money,
13 you're out of luck, you're going to have
14 to wait."

15 MR. MENCINI: Well, I've had three
16 or four originally call me and I believe
17 they had -- you would know the names, but
18 I'm not going to mention them here. They
19 had the paperwork, everything down right
20 away. They were ecstatic about it and
21 very happy with the whole program. And I
22 had one that had got it previously and she
23 called me again and says, "Well, can I do
24 it again," and I would just think,
25 basically, common sense would rule that

1 there is --

2 MR. DOLAN: There's no objection
3 to amending it to limit somebody to
4 participate once in five years, per
5 household, that's fine. I think that's
6 reasonable, you know. It makes people --
7 actually it makes my job easier because I
8 can just say, "Hey, you're out of luck.
9 It's not my rule, it's the rule of the
10 City."

11 MR. MENCINI: Thank you.

12 MR. DOLAN: The only other thing
13 is that I think Council should -- you
14 know, if you want to put more money in
15 there, you certainly could do that. Trust
16 me, there is enough people who will come
17 forward and take advantage of it. The
18 City is not short on people in the low
19 moderate income bracket, and there's not a
20 shortage of people -- it's a good loan
21 program. It's way better than the County
22 program because the rules that the County
23 commercial banks are applying basically
24 prohibit most people from getting a low
25 market rate loan, and they're paying 13% to

1 15% now on the County loan program
2 and this is a prime loan at three-
3 and-a-half percent. So it's a good deal.

4 MR. MENCINI: Yeah. I believe it
5 was Mr. Salvatore at one point suggested
6 maybe it's something to look at putting
7 more money towards that, I would concur.
8 I mean, if it's what I got over the phone,
9 I mean, people are -- you know, they're
10 seeing the success, it's starting to have.
11 So obviously the ball is rolling, but that
12 was a very good suggestion from you also.

13 That's all I have, Mr. Chairman.
14 Thank you.

15 COUNCIL PRESIDENT: Thank you.
16 Councilman Troyer?

17 MR. TROYER: Thank you,
18 Mr. Chairman. Council, I had a couple
19 suggestions with this. As you know, when
20 we passed this, I did have an issue with
21 having an application deadline, which the
22 amendment was there, but somehow it didn't
23 get in here. Part of that amendment was
24 priority shall be given to the lowest
25 income applicant and that did get in here,

1 but it was also supposed to have a
2 deadline. And I'm suggesting at this time
3 that it be an April 15th application
4 deadline. The reason for that is because
5 at that time, they would have their taxes
6 done for last year and you'd have the most
7 recent tax figures. And the original
8 thought was March 31st for the application
9 deadline because, you know, you can't give
10 anything out if the money is not
11 appropriated yet and we're not going to
12 pass that until the end of March, most
13 likely. So that was my thought on that.
14 And, again, there was supposed to be an
15 application deadline. So you'd be able to
16 take the first applicants and take the
17 lowest income people first for those
18 grants, and then any money left over would
19 go to the people with the higher income
20 and that was actually amended. So that's
21 one of the things I'm suggesting.

22 Another one I had an issue with is
23 in having interior remodeling done, I'm
24 suggesting -- if you look on section one,
25 number six, that before "remodeling," you

1 put "exterior." So "the use of the funds
2 shall be limited to structural at-home
3 repair and capital repairs and exterior
4 remodeling improvements." And then
5 further on, it says, "and not for
6 landscaping," and then you'd add,
7 "Interior remodeling sidewalks of a
8 premise."

9 Now that's -- I'm talking about a
10 whole remodeling of something. Now, if
11 somebody's shower or bathroom has --
12 somebody needs -- or tub has a hole, the
13 tile is all falling off, it's not a
14 remodeling. Get a loan. We'd give them a
15 grant to get that fixed, to get it
16 functional. But I don't think we should
17 be remodeling somebody's interior. That
18 doesn't really help the neighborhood, the
19 look of the neighborhood and everything.
20 But we should be helping somebody stay in
21 their house and function -- making it
22 functional. So that's one of my
23 suggestions there.

24 I hit the -- went over the
25 enrollment. I like the idea of the five

1 years, once they have it, adding wording
2 to have the five years. Let's see what
3 else it had on there.

4 Mr. Dolan, are you saying --
5 actually in Section 1, 7F, to remove the
6 "first come, first serve" basis wording?
7 Now, grant it, that's on the loans only.

8 MR. DOLAN: Section 1 what?

9 MR. TROYER: 7F, it's on the last
10 page.

11 COUNCIL PRESIDENT: What is your
12 question, Councilman, on that?

13 MR. TROYER: Would you be in favor
14 -- is that the wording you wanted removed,
15 "first come, first serve"?

16 MR. DOLAN: No. I think that's
17 fine. We're actually doing that. We're
18 taking the applications out of a
19 first-come, first-serve basis. But if
20 they do not complete their application
21 process, somebody whose application is
22 received at a later date, who completes it
23 fully is being awarded funds prior to
24 somebody who applies and does not. So I

1 think that's fine.

2 MR. TROYER: I understand, and
3 that's fine because that applies to the
4 loans. But the --

5 MR. DOLAN: The majority of the --
6 through the chair to the Councilman, the
7 overwhelming majority of the
8 applicants, 98% to 99%, are low
9 moderate income applicants who can't
10 afford to pay back a loan at \$90 a month.

11 So, you know, I think that the way
12 we're trying to administer this is if you
13 apply and apply completely and you
14 qualify, the money will be set aside and
15 locked up for you, and then the only other
16 question is will your contractor that you
17 provided agree to participate in the
18 program. I've only had one contractor
19 refuse. He wanted his money far quicker
20 than we were able to turn it around for
21 him, but that's it. So I don't think
22 that part of the program needs any
23 adjustment.

24 On your proposed amendments for
25 exterior versus interior, the majority of

the work has been for roofs, it has been for exterior concrete work. There has been one kitchen and one bathroom out of the 20, 21 projects that were approved this year. I don't think -- I think kitchens and bathrooms are fine. I don't think that -- because they go directly to the market value of a home, which is what the program is intended to correct and it's also for those people who are low moderate income or even loan people. I mean, the majority of these people that are getting the grants are seniors, seniors on a fixed income. And to be perfectly honest, in my previous life when we had this program and in this life, under this program, it maintains the fair market value of the property even though you can't see it because you walk in and the kitchens and the bathrooms are clean and new and modern; that's fair market value right there. And any realtor will tell you that you get a dollar for dollar

on what you put into your kitchens and
your bathrooms.

1 The current program says if you
2 have exterior -- if you have violations
3 and building code violations, that they
4 have to be corrected. So, you know, I
5 don't think you need to just limit it to
6 the exterior stuff. We're going in and
7 telling people, Hey, look. You've got to
8 fix this stuff up. And the one problem
9 with even doing that is we had one
10 participant who desperately needed the
11 funds, who had a house that was and still
12 currently is challenged that needed a
13 furnace and a roof. She couldn't afford
14 either one of them, and I actually -- the
15 only time I got involved is I was -- and
16 Commissioner **HURST** got involved, too. We
17 found somebody who would do the work for
18 far below market as more of a charitable
19 matter than a business matter just so that
20 the lady and her daughter had heat and had
21 a roof that wasn't pouring water through
22 the house.

23 So, you know, it can be a
24 challenge to do all that stuff. I think
25 that you don't need to make the amendment

1 on exterior versus interior. We're not
2 giving anybody money to paint or carpet
3 the living room or the family room. This
4 is all stuff that is -- you know, bread
5 and butter stuff that people need to live
6 on.

7 MR. TROYER: May I continue?

8 COUNCIL PRESIDENT: Go ahead,
9 Councilman Troyer.

10 MR. TROYER: Okay, my concern
11 is -- let's just use the \$5,000 figure. I
12 understand your issue with certain issues
13 we had. And, of course, the wording in
14 this still specifically includes furnaces.
15 So my concern is that somebody could get
16 -- let's say it's an elderly person. They
17 get the loan to fix up the kitchen -- I'm
18 sorry--they get the grant to fix up the
19 kitchen and they have to go move into a
20 nursing home and the family gets to sell --
21 gets to reap that benefit of that \$5,000
22 remodel in the value of that house.

23 MR. DOLAN: On the point --

24 MR. TROYER: So is there a
25 clawback? Can we put a clawback in here

1 where if that house is sold within five
2 years or something at that profit, we
3 could recoup that money?

4 MR. DOLAN: On the point to the
5 Councilman. Respectfully, why would you
6 want to do that? The community is
7 benefited by having a house that
8 maintained a higher fair market value. So
9 in your --

10 MR. TROYER: It doesn't --

11 MR. DOLAN: Let me finish, please.

12 COUNCIL PRESIDENT: Councilman?

13 MR. TROYER: Okay.

14 MR. DOLAN: In your situation, a
15 senior comes in and they want to make an
16 improvement, and then after the
17 improvement is done, they can no longer
18 stay in their home and they have to go
19 elsewhere. In most cases, the house would
20 be sold and the money -- do they get the
21 benefit of the improvement? Yes, they get
22 it anyway. It's a grant you're giving
23 them the money. But the value is in
24 maintaining the fair market value of the
25 property. Trying to clawback on somebody

1 who has little or no means is kind of a
2 pointless effort, on my part, because the
3 whole idea was to maintain market value.
4 The repair assisted in the maintenance of
5 market value and trying to claw something
6 back in that situation is -- I don't see
7 the point of that. The value is still in
8 the home. So I don't think that's
9 necessary at all and, quite frankly, I
10 don't know how you would do that. You're
11 asking somebody in most cases who is low
12 moderate income and now they're even worse
13 off than they were before to somehow pay
14 you back for a \$5,000 grant the City gave
15 them to improve their real property. But
16 the value is in the property, it's
17 sitting there, it's not with person in
18 the home. I mean, the community realizes
19 it in the resale of the property.

20 COUNCIL PRESIDENT: Okay.

21 Councilman, if I can interject right now.
22 I'd just like to get --

23 MR. TROYER: It's very misleading.

24 I'm sorry. That's not right.

25 COUNCIL PRESIDENT: No. If I can

1 give a little direction to this. What I
2 was looking for is if we had some
3 discussion on this tonight about possible
4 amendments that Council wants to do, that
5 we would be -- what I was hoping for is we
6 would then -- I could work with the Law
7 Director to have some of those amendments
8 drafted and we could bring it up for next
9 week's Caucus. I really don't want to
10 have a full-blown debate on this stuff.
11 I'm just kind of looking to say if we need
12 to tune this up, let's share some ideas.
13 But I don't think we need to have the
14 full-blown back and forth discussions on
15 this. You know, we've touched on so far,
16 three amendments that need to be drafted,
17 that need -- after we're done, if there
18 are anymore that might need to be done, we
19 get those done and they can come to next
20 week's Caucus for a cleaner piece of
21 legislation.

22 So I don't want to have debates on
23 the merits of the amendments. Let's just
24 talk about the amendments, get a little
25 bit of feedback, a little bit of feedback

1 from the Commissioner since he was the one
2 that implemented it and administered the
3 program and use his knowledge for our
4 amendments. So let's just keep it to
5 possible amendments on things that we want
6 to do. We've heard about how this program
7 works real well. It just might need a --
8 it was the first year for a pilot program.
9 We were going to come back and see if
10 there were a couple, three changes we were
11 going to make.

12 So I just wanted to be -- let's
13 just focus on that.

14 So Councilman Troyer, did you
15 still want the floor?

16 MR. TROYER: Yes.

17 COUNCIL PRESIDENT: On other
18 amendments? Because we understand your
19 thing about these amendments. We don't
20 need to debate them right tonight.

21 MR. TROYER: No. Well, we're at a
22 Caucus. I think this would be the time.
23 But, okay.

24 COUNCIL PRESIDENT: No. I told
25 you what the -- the purpose is to get the

1 amendments designed. The time to discuss
2 the amendments will be once the amendments
3 are written out and put before us. We're
4 just trying to get the piece of
5 legislation that can come to next week's
6 caucus for us to look at. So we don't
7 need to discuss the merits. If you want
8 to have that amendment come forward, we'll
9 have that come forward next week. But
10 we're not going to make the adjustments
11 tonight.

12 MR. TROYER: Okay. Yeah, that is
13 all I had.

14 COUNCIL PRESIDENT: Okay.
15 Councilwoman Powers?

16 MS. POWERS: I just wanted to
17 point out that since we are dealing with,
18 basically, older people, a lot of older
19 people may have a lot of confusion when it
20 comes to -- where it says, "Send in your
21 tax return," because a lot of older people
22 don't do a tax return because they don't
23 have any money to do a tax return with --

24 COUNCIL PRESIDENT: That's not
25 true.

1 MS. POWERS: -- and so that may be
2 a holdup with some people. And because
3 you are dealing with older people, they
4 may not understand and may need some help
5 to get their applications filled out. So
6 somehow we should be able to not only
7 identify these people, but also be able to
8 offer them some help. And most of all,
9 just be sure that everyone in the City
10 gets notified of this program. Thank you.

11 MAYOR COYNE: Can I speak to that,
12 Mr. Chairman?

13 COUNCIL PRESIDENT: Okay, yes,
14 Mayor, speak to them about the
15 notification part.

16 MAYOR COYNE: Right, one of the
17 things I -- and I've got to talk to **Law**
18 **Director Horvath** about this. Yes, right now
19 the majority are seniors. We talked about
20 possibly looking at those who are cited for
21 property maintenance violations, that we
22 give them notice at that time, there's a
23 program available, here's the
24 qualifications. That might be a tool that
25 we could use to getting it out. What

1 we're finding is it's the seniors who take
2 care of their property. It is their
3 children who either inherit the houses or
4 are given the houses or their
5 grandchildren that are letting the
6 property go. So we're hoping maybe, if by
7 that -- and these kids that are coming in,
8 a lot of them aren't making a great deal
9 of money and qualify without a problem at
10 all.

11 So that's one of the things we're
12 looking at. It doesn't have to be in the
13 Ordinance, Mr. Chairman. That's one way
14 we might be looking at making people aware
15 and getting it to them and saying, "Okay,
16 you're being cited for this in Mayor's
17 Court, you're coming in. You may qualify
18 for this program and we can address the
19 situation."

20 COUNCIL PRESIDENT: Okay.

21 MAYOR COYNE: That's the only
22 comment I had.

23 COUNCIL PRESIDENT: Thank you.
24 Councilwoman McCormick?

25 MS. MCCORMICK: I simply wanted to

1 offer a motion to have the Law Director
2 draft amendments to Ordinance 9993-2015,
3 reflecting Mr. Dolan's suggestion to
4 remove the matching fund requirement for
5 loans in Section 1-5, and then also to add
6 a stipulation that you cannot receive --
7 or you can only receive a loan or a grant
8 once every five-year period. Those seem
9 to be the amendments that most of us are
10 comfortable with. Any support on the
11 motion?

12 COUNCIL PRESIDENT: Is there
13 support for the motion?

14 MS. MCCORMICK: -- to have those
15 -- amendments, it's just to have a draft
16 so there's something to work off of.

17 COUNCIL PRESIDENT: Okay. So
18 motion fails for lack of support. Law
19 Director, did you want to comment on that?

20 MS. HORVATH: Yes, I just wanted
21 to say that my understanding is the usual
22 procedure is Council makes their
23 amendments, and then we go ahead and
24 change the legislation. So I don't -- all

1 of these suggestions are very good and
2 they may be well taken, but, you know,
3 it's kind of hard to draft legislation
4 when you don't know what amendments are
5 going to be passed and what aren't. So
6 that would be the only point that I would
7 want to make.

8 COUNCIL PRESIDENT: The intention
9 was to have discussion, hear from Council
10 what the amendments are, and then I would
11 work with you this week so that next week
12 at the Caucus, we could have the
13 amendments written out in proper form.
14 Because in the past, sometimes when we
15 make motions to amend, it just becomes --
16 like the last time we did this, some of
17 them didn't make it to the actual
18 ordinance. So the intention was just to
19 have some discussion, find out what the
20 amendments are, then you and I would work
21 on getting the amendments written out so
22 then we could come to the next Caucus
23 meeting to show them and they could decide
24 which ones they want to make, and then the
25 legislation could go to the floor as an

1 amendment.

2 MS. HORVATH: Right, and I can
3 certainly understand that. The problem
4 is, though, you don't really know what
5 will or won't pass and so you're kind of
6 constantly going back and forth. If
7 everybody did have a pretty firm idea,
8 then that may work out well or it could be
9 double the work to get the same results.

10 COUNCIL PRESIDENT: But based on
11 your comment, I don't think you understand
12 what I'm saying. If we come with the
13 amendments at next week's Caucus and they
14 don't pass, say there are four amendments
15 and only one of them passes, we would then
16 come to the next Council meeting,
17 introduce legislation that has that
18 amendment in there, it's a cleaner piece
19 of legislation, rather than going through
20 it. So it's not -- it's discussing the
21 amendments first and then making the
22 decision at the next week's Caucus rather
23 than coming forward at a Council meeting
24 and saying, "Look, here's the Ordinances
25 with the amendments, and then having to

1 deal with it that way. I'm just trying to
2 do it in a different procedure here,
3 because there are several different
4 concerns. And like I said, I didn't want
5 to have discussion about the whole thing
6 in one night until people have time to
7 look at it and digest it. So --

8 MS. HORVATH: Right, I
9 understand your point. I'm just saying
10 that that deviates from what I understand
11 as a previous procedure, and I think the
12 reasons why is because none of us know
13 what may or may not pass. Of course, if
14 you make an amendment at a Caucus and you
15 place it at another meeting, then
16 certainly there would be that week's time
17 where you could make the changes. That is
18 very true. But actually changing it
19 before it's officially amended might be
20 somewhat problematic, that's my point.

21 COUNCIL PRESIDENT: We're not
22 officially changing it. We're just
23 showing people what the amendments would
24 be, Ms. Director, so I'm not changing
25 legislation. I'm just saying let's get

1 clean amendments out there so the Council
2 has time to look at them.

3 Councilwoman McCormick?

4 MS. MCCORMICK: I think some of
5 the confusion is, is if you look in our
6 packet, we have the amendment to the
7 original ordinance. That's essentially
8 what I was trying to have done and I think
9 that's what he meant, too, to have these
10 proposed amendments written out so then we
11 have an actual ordinance in front of us
12 amending something. I mean, we can't just
13 amend the original Ordinance, it has
14 already been amended and we have to have
15 legislation written up to amend it. We
16 could poll Council on the various
17 amendments that have been offered thus far
18 to include what is in there. But
19 honestly, I don't think there's much
20 support for Mr. Troyer's suggestion, there
21 wasn't earlier in the year. But I
22 think Mr. Dolan had a good suggestion and
23 I think putting the one in five years was
24 -- I didn't hear any objections to that.
25 So that's all.

1 COUNCIL PRESIDENT: Well, the
2 objection that -- I don't have an
3 objection to the change. The objection I
4 have is there is nothing -- there is just
5 a concept that's put out there. There is
6 not the actual language that's written out
7 that we could be voting on.

8 MS. MCCORMICK: That's what I'm
9 saying, we need something like 9999-2016
10 written up.

11 COUNCIL PRESIDENT: Yes, and
12 that's what I'm trying to say is let's
13 develop the idea today, get the finished
14 document and look at the finished document
15 next week. Right now we would just be
16 saying okay, let's accept that motion when
17 we don't really know what the actual words
18 are, and then we'd been drafting the words
19 after we develop a concept. That's not
20 the way we amend legislation, in my mind.

21 MS. MCCORMICK: That's true.

22 COUNCIL PRESIDENT: Apparently
23 there is resistance to the way I want to
24 do it. So, Council, what's your pleasure?
25 Councilman Salvatore?

1 MR. SALVATORE: Thank you, Mr.
2 Chairman, what I see here in front of me
3 is a piece of legislation that was very
4 successful, the way it was written,
5 I know we talked about some minor tweaking.
6 But what Councilwoman McCormick proposed,
7 not a bad idea. That five-year restriction
8 should probably be in there someplace.
9 Where it should fit in appropriately should
10 be discussed. I think we have Mr. Dolan here
11 who should be more than able to tell us
12 and give us his points of what didn't work
13 and those things should be removed. If
14 something is not working, we fix it. But
15 I certainly wouldn't want to restrict this
16 to only exterior repairs, even though I
17 agree that fixing something up on the
18 outside of the home certainly adds street
19 appeal and certainly adds desirability for
20 someone wanting to see the inside if it
21 were for sale. But I think we're missing
22 the whole point of this is to help people
23 who can't help themselves at this time
24 because of some financial restraint. And
25 me, as one person, I would rather help

1 somebody who needs a furnace, especially
2 in the middle of winter, and their furnace
3 doesn't work, nobody sees it but them. All
4 that matters to me, is that
5 they're comfortable and warm in their
6 home. I don't want to see it limited to
7 only an exterior maintenance program or a
8 subsidized program because of that purpose
9 that I just mentioned.

10 As far as changing legislation, I
11 think that should be done on the Council
12 floor in the form that Councilwoman
13 McCormick attempted to do, and if we take
14 them one at a time -- obviously we're not
15 going to get through it tonight. We have
16 a meeting in 15 more minutes but it
17 certainly does have merit; that we discuss
18 it, come to the Council-Caucus next week,
19 make the recommendations on an individual
20 basis. If you have the votes, make the
21 amendment, if you don't, it's not going
22 to pass.

23 But I certainly wouldn't want to
24 hurt this legislation in anyway because
25 it certainly has helped a lot of people

1 and I hope in the future we can find a way
2 to help them a lot more.

3 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

4 COUNCIL PRESIDENT: Councilman
5 Mencini?

6 MR. MENCINI: Thank you,
7 Mr. Chairman. I'll concur, I'll agree with my
8 colleague, Mr. Salvatore. You had heard
9 what I had said before, the success that I
10 feel on the cause that I got on this
11 program. I'll agree with Ms. McCormick on
12 the five years but I wholeheartedly
13 believe on the interior, too. I
14 believe -- one, maybe -- maybe we can
15 exclude maybe landscaping, but interior,
16 exterior, we're helping people. It's
17 property values. It's -- the kitchen and
18 bathroom is probably possibly where most
19 people spend most of their time anyway and
20 I would think being seniors, that's
21 probably a pretty good guess there.

22 So I would think that, you know,
23 those are the things we've got to look at.
24 And the furnace, I think that's just

1 obvious. That's right in front of our
2 face. Somebody's furnace goes in the
3 middle of winter, very important.

4 So that's all I have,
5 Mr. Chairman. Thank you. But I say lay
6 it out.

7 COUNCIL PRESIDENT: Councilman
8 Troyer?

9 MR. TROYER: Thank you,
10 Mr. Chairman. First, may I state that the
11 furnace is specifically --

12 **MS. McCORMICK:** It's already in there.

13 MR. TROYER: -- allowed in the
14 legislation. I'm not saying take the
15 furnace -- it's specifically allowed in
16 the legislation and I'm not saying take
17 that out. So quit mentioning furnaces.
18 That had nothing to do with it.

19 MR. SALVATORE: Point of order,
20 Mr. Chairman.

21 MR. TROYER: What I'm saying here
22 is --

23 COUNCIL PRESIDENT: Hold on.

24 MR. SALVATORE: Mr. Chairman,

1 question.

2 COUNCIL PRESIDENT: Hold on. What's
3 your point of order, Councilman?

4 MR. SALVATORE: You did state that
5 you only wanted to do exterior. I don't
6 see any furnaces on the front lawn except
7 for the ones that are getting thrown away.
8 You did make that comment and I'm only
9 saying --

10 COUNCIL PRESIDENT: The point of
11 order is not a debate, Councilman
12 Salvatore, I understand what you're
13 saying. My point of order is I requested
14 there to be no debate about these merits
15 and people keep doing that. It was
16 supposed to be -- this is a work session.
17 A Caucus is supposed to be a work session
18 and you're turning it into a Council
19 debate. I think you're all missing the
20 point of what this discussion is supposed
21 to be.

22 MR. SALVATORE: I'm not missing
23 the point, Mr. Chairman. I'm fully aware
24 of what we're trying to accomplish.

25 COUNCIL PRESIDENT: I mean, I

1 heard your comments and I kind of agreed
2 with your comments, but it seems that
3 everybody still wants to make their
4 comments and debate about everything.

5 MR. TROYER: May I continue?

6 COUNCIL PRESIDENT: Go ahead,
7 Councilman Troyer.

8 MR. TROYER: That being said, my
9 example was not a good one. So let me
10 give another example, and we don't have to
11 add the words about the exterior,
12 interior. But there has to be something
13 in here to prevent someone from
14 remodeling -- taking our grant money,
15 remodeling their house and selling it for
16 a profit. There has to be a term that
17 they have to stay there or something,
18 because you could easily just -- that's --
19 whether it be young, old, whatever, a
20 person can do that and profit from the
21 resident's money of \$5,000. Now, I
22 understand, yeah, the idea is to bring up
23 the value, but that's my other problem
24 with this. Exterior stuff brings up the
25 value of the whole neighborhood. The curb

1 appeal, all that stuff, you understand
2 that, it brings up the whole value. The
3 interior stuff does not until it's sold.
4 And let me remind you, we do have a
5 Constitution here and you can't -- nobody
6 can go into somebody's house without a
7 warrant and inspect what they have. So,
8 you know, mentioning that we can go in
9 somebody's house and look and see that
10 this doesn't pass code, we can't do that.
11 We can inspect the exterior, but we can't
12 go into somebody's house when they're
13 living there and inspect the interior. I
14 believe that would be against the
15 Constitution. So what I'm talking about
16 is that some kind of wording -- and I
17 asked the Law Director to look into this,
18 but I'll leave it at that, that to prevent
19 this type of situation where somebody
20 could get the loan and profit by selling
21 the house immediately after the work is
22 done. That's it.

23 COUNCIL PRESIDENT: Ms. Director,
24 Law Director?

25 MS. HORVATH: I would simply

1 suggest that anybody who has any proposed
2 amendments, email them to me, and then I
3 will meet with Council President Astorino
4 and we'll see what we can do to streamline
5 this.

6 COUNCIL PRESIDENT: Councilwoman
7 McCormick?

8 MS. MCCORMICK: With all due
9 respect to Councilman Troyer, I think
10 you're beating a dead horse by bringing
11 that up again. There is no -- there
12 wasn't support the first time around for
13 that really this year. I don't think
14 you're going to get anybody to change
15 their mind. So at this point I'd like to
16 suggest that we just -- a **motion** to place
17 this on the next Caucus agenda, since we
18 can't seem to come to any kind of --

19 MR. SALVATORE: Support.

20 MS. MCCORMICK: -- agreement.

21 MAYOR COYNE: May I speak to the
22 motion, if permissible?

23 COUNCIL PRESIDENT: Discussion on
24 the motion.

1 MAYOR COYNE: Thank you,
2 Mr. Chairman. We had private conversation
3 to spill all this out. It seems pretty
4 simple to me that Ordinance 9999-2016 needs a
5 provision that provides that someone can
6 -- because the matching funds have been
7 dealt with, they can't apply within five
8 years of their original grant for the loan
9 and it appears pretty simple. In
10 Ordinance 9993-2015, we would remove the
11 requirement that they have matching funds
12 for the grant and that once again they
13 cannot apply within a five-period for an
14 additional grant. It seems pretty simple.

15 So maybe if you could ask Council
16 to consider making those amendments, the
17 Law Director could prepare the legislation
18 and we could move forward.

19 MR. SALVATORE: I withdraw my
20 second.

21 MS. MCCORMICK: I withdraw mine.
22 I would like to make a motion --

23 COUNCIL PRESIDENT: Another one?

24 MS. MCCORMICK: -- the same one I
25 made before, yes.

1 COUNCIL PRESIDENT: We already
2 have a motion on the floor.

3 MS. MCCORMICK: He withdrew his
4 second, I withdrew my motion.

5 COUNCIL PRESIDENT: Oh my
6 goodness.

7 MS. MCCORMICK: So I would like to
8 make a **new motion** for legislation to be
9 drafted to include Mr. Dolan's suggestion
10 of removing the matching funds requirement
11 for loans and to put in -- to add a
12 provision that you can only receive it
13 once every five years.

14 **Mr. SCOTT:** Support

15 COUNCIL PRESIDENT: A motion has
16 been made, properly supported. Anymore
17 discussion?

18 MS. POWERS: Yes.

19 COUNCIL PRESIDENT: Councilwoman
20 Powers?

21 MS. POWERS: To, I hope,
22 clarify -- or to maybe not to clarify
23 Councilman Troyer's statement. But my own

1 opinion of that and of the five-year plan
2 is we're talking about senior citizens'.
3 Nobody says the senior citizen who gets
4 this HMAP Program this year is going to be
5 around in five years and in that five-year
6 period or tomorrow somebody that got the
7 HMAP program today got the work done.
8 Somebody could inherit that house tomorrow
9 and make a profit on the improvements.

10 So I can see where, yes, there
11 should be some provision for paying back
12 in certain cases.

13 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. That's
14 all I have.

15 COUNCIL PRESIDENT: Discussion on
16 the motion?

17 MR. MENCINI: Make the motion.

18 COUNCIL PRESIDENT: Okay. Seeing
19 no more discussion, we'll call the role on
20 the motion.

21 THE CLERK: McCormick?

22 MS. MCCORMICK: Yes.

23 THE CLERK: Scott?

24 MR. SCOTT: Yes.

25 THE CLERK: Burgio?

1 MR. BURGIO: Yes.

2 THE CLERK: Salvatore?

3 MR. SALVATORE: Yes.

4 THE CLERK: Troyer?

5 MR. TROYER: Abstain.

6 THE CLERK: Powers?

7 MS. POWERS: Abstain.

8 THE CLERK: Mencini?

9 MR. MENCINI: Yes.

10 **Note: The Planning Committee
section of this meeting was
transcribed by the Clerk of Council.**

PLANNING COMMITTEE - CHAIRMAN, TROYER:

1. AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT TO ACCEPT THE DONATION AND TRANSFER OF LAND KNOWN AS PERMANENT PARCEL NO. 343-11-009 AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.
Introduced by Mayor Coyne.

Mayor Coyne stated we have the ability to acquire the property as a donation to be demolished and made read for development.

Mr. Troyer questions if the property is free and clear on taxes and hazardous materials?

Mayor Coyne responded the tax records have been checked and is not sure of hazardous materials. Prior to demolition the property will have to be cleaned up and if there is hazardous material problems the city can get assistance from the county.

Mr. Dolan stated there was a former BP gas station next door and there may be a remote slight event that petroleum seeped into the property. The BP gas tanks have been removed and the soil was remediated well before this legislation, there is no asbestos.

Motion by Ms. McCormick, supported by Mr. Mencini, to place on the Council agenda immediately following under First Reading.

ROLL CALL: AYES: McCormick, Mencini, Powers, Troyer, Salvatore, Burgio, Scott.

NAYS: None. The motion carried.

Mr. Astorino stated this will appear under M-1 as Ordinance No. 10053-2016.

11 What I'd like to do now,
12 Council, is entertain a motion to recess
13 the Caucus meeting, because we are
14 scheduled to have a Council meeting at
15 7:00 and it will give us a few minutes to
16 get our papers in order, and we would
17 reconvene the Caucus meeting immediately
18 following the Council meeting.

19 MR. SALVATORE: So made,
20 Mr. Chairman.

21 MR. MENCINI: Support.

22 COUNCIL PRESIDENT: Is there any

1 discussion on the motion? Councilwoman
2 McCormick?

3 MS. MCCORMICK: I guess it doesn't
4 matter, but I was just going to ask if we
5 could just dispose of the Finance
6 Committee and move into next week. But if
7 you want to take a break first, that's
8 fine.

9 COUNCIL PRESIDENT: Okay, there
10 was a motion for recess. Any other
11 discussion?

12 MR. TROYER: Do you have
13 a second?

14 MR. SALVATORE: Yeah. I made the
15 motion.

16 MR. MENCINI: I seconded it.

17 COUNCIL PRESIDENT: Mencini
18 seconded. Any other discussion? Seeing
19 none, call a role on the recess.

20 THE CLERK: Salvatore?

21 MR. SALVATORE: Yes.

22 THE CLERK: Mencini?

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

MR. MENCINI: Yes.

THE CLERK: McCormick?

MS. MCCORMICK: Yes.

THE CLERK: Powers?

MS. POWERS: Yes.

THE CLERK: Troyer?

MR. TROYER: Yes.

THE CLERK: Burgio?

MR. BURGIO: Yes.

THE CLERK: Scott?

MR. SCOTT: Yes.

COUNCIL PRESIDENT: All right.

This Caucus is recessed until after the Council meeting. We will start the Council meeting at 7:00. Thank you.

- - -

(6:53 p.m. Caucus recessed.)

- - -

REVISED PAGES HAVE BEEN EDITED PER CITY COUNCIL'S INSTRUCTION TO INSERT NAME OF THE SPEAKER OR A VOICE.

Note: The continuation of the Regular Caucus meeting held on November 15, 2016 was transcribed by the Clerk of Council.

Mr. Astorino reconvened the Caucus meeting at 8:10 p.m. and asked for a motion to go back to the Regular Order of Business so that Planning Commission item number one could appear under First Reading at the Regular Council meeting held prior.

Motion by Mr. Salvatore, supported by Mr. Mencini, to go back to the Regular Order of Business.

ROLL CALL: AYES: Salvatore, Mencini, McCormick, Powers, Troyer, Burgio, Scott
NAYS: None. The motion carried.

FINANCE COMMITTEE - CHAIRWOMAN, McCORMICK:

Ms. McCormick asked for a motion to remove the two items in the Finance Committee and place on the next Caucus agenda for more discussion and to address concerns from the law director.

1. AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CERTAIN SECTIONS OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE CODE TO PROVIDE ADJUSTMENTS IN COMPENSATION FOR EMPLOYEES OF THE CITY, OTHER THAN ELECTED OFFICIALS OR THOSE COVERED UNDER NEGOTIATED LABOR CONTRACTS AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY. Introduced by Finance Committee

2. AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE HUMAN RESOURCES COMMISSIONER TO PREPARE SPECIFICATIONS FOR AND TO ADVERTISE FOR PROPOSALS (RFP) FOR THE EXECUTION OF A COMPREHENSIVE JOB AND SALARY STUDY AND FURTHER AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO SEEK SUCH PROPOSALS AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY. Introduced by Finance Committee

Motion by Mr. McCormick, supported by Mr. Mencini, to remove Finance Committee items number one and two from this agenda.

ROLL CALL: AYES: McCormick, Mencini, Scott, Burgio, Salvatore, Troyer, Powers
NAYS: None. The motion carried.

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE - CHAIRMAN, TROYER:

1. AN ORDINANCE ENACTING SECTION 509.15 OF THE BROOK PARK CODIFIED ORDINANCES ENTITLED 'PROHIBITING NUISANCE PARTIES' AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY. Introduced by Council President Astorino, Councilmembers Troyer, Powers, McCormick.

Legislative Committee - Chairman, Troyer: cont.

Mr. Troyer stated the police chief was supposed to be in attendance and is not here, asked for a motion to place on the next Caucus agenda

Motion by Mr. Mencini, supported by Ms. McCormick, to place on the next Caucus agenda.

ROLL CALL: AYES: Mencini, McCormick, Powers, Troyer, Salvatore, Burgio, Scott
NAYS: None. The motion carried.

2. AN ORDINANCE ENACTING SECTION 529.022 OF THE BROOK PARK CODIFIED ORDINANCES ENTITLED 'UNAUTHORIZED CONSUMPTION BY UNDERAGE PERSON ON PRIVATE PROPERTY' AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.
Introduced by Mayor Coyne.

Motion by Mr. Mencini, supported by Ms. McCormick, to place on the next Caucus agenda for the police chief to be in attendance.

ROLL CALL: AYES: Mencini, McCormick, Powers, Troyer, Salvatore, Burgio, Scott
NAYS: None. The motion carried.

PLANNING COMMITTEE - CHAIRMAN, TROYER:

1. AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT TO ACCEPT THE DONATION AND TRANSFER OF LAND KNOWN AS PERMANENT PARCEL NO. 343-11-009 AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.
Introduced by Mayor Coyne Note: **(Fanooses Tavern - 15275 Brookpark Road) Note:** Moved by motion to the beginning of the meeting and placed on the Regular Council meeting held prior to reconvening of the Caucus.

SERVICE COMMITTEE - CHAIRMAN, BURGIO:

1. AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE CONSULTING ENGINEER TO PREPARE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS AND THE MAYOR TO ADVERTISE FOR BIDS AND ENTER INTO A CONTRACT FOR CONSTRUCTION OF THE 2017 SIDEWALK REPAIR PROGRAM AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY. Introduced by Mayor Coyne

Mr. Troyer asked Engineer Piatak if this is going to be Phase One?

Mr. Piatak responded yes, Phase One will be from the west end of town to Engle Road.

Motion by Mr. Salvatore, supported by Ms. McCormick, to place on the next Council agenda.

ROLL CALL: AYES: Salvatore, McCormick, Mencini, Troyer, Burgio, Scott
NAYS: Powers. The motion carried with a vote of 6-1.

Service Committee - Chairman, Burgio: cont.

2. AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO HIRE INSPECTORS FOR THE 2017 SIDEWALK REPAIR PROGRAM AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY. Introduced by Mayor Coyne

Motion by Mr. Salvatore, supported by Mr. Mencini, to place on the next Council agenda.

ROLL CALL: AYES: Salvatore, Mencini, McCormick, Troyer, Burgio, Scott
NAYS: Powers. The motion carried with a vote of 6-1.

There being no further business to come before this meeting a **motion** by Mr. Salvatore, supported by Ms. McCormick, to adjourn.

ROLL CALL: AYES: Salvatore, McCormick, Powers, Troyer, Burgio, Scott
NAYS: None. The motion carried.

Council President Astorino declared this meeting adjourned at 8:18 p.m.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED


Michelle Blazak
Clerk of Council

APPROVED



