

**REGULAR CAUCUS MEETING
OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BROOK PARK, OHIO
TO BE HELD ON TUESDAY, JANUARY 15, 2019**

The meeting was called to order by Council President Vecchio at 7:00 p.m., the clerk called the roll and the following Members of Council answered:

SCOTT, BURGIO, ORCUTT, STEMM, MENCINI, POINDEXTER, SALVATORE

Also in attendance were Mayor Gammella, Law Director Horvath, Finance Director Cingle, Building Commissioner Hurst and Engineer Piatak (8:00 p.m.).

APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF PRECEDING MEETINGS:

1. REGULAR CAUCUS MEETING HELD ON DECEMBER 11, 2018.

Motion by Mr. Mencini, supported by Mr. Poindexter, to approve as printed.

ROLL CALL: AYES: Mencini, Poindexter, Salvatore, Scott, Burgio, Orcutt

NAYS: None.

ABSTENTION: Stemm. The motion carried with a vote of 6 and one abstention.

DISCUSSION:

1. POWERPOINT PRESENTATION FROM TANKO LIGHTING FOR LED CONVERSION LIGHTING (Councilman Scott) - **In attendance:** Morgan Melendrez - Energy Adviser.

Note: The power point presentation is on file in the Council office for public review.

Morgan Melendez
Energy Coordinator
220 Bayshore Blvd.
San Francisco, Ca

Steve Renfrow
Account Representative - PowerSmart
6161 Halle Drive
Valley View, OH

Mr. Melendez stated Tanko Lighting is a street-light focus company based in California and helps municipalities transition from utility-owned high pressure sodium to customer-owned LED's (light emitting diode), that is growing across the nation. Tanko Lighting has worked with 100 municipalities and have assisted in the conversion of 400,000 across the nation. No two municipalities are the same and our company provides specific street light conversion specific to the city. The reason cities are looking into this is financial savings; the energy-savings by the rate change of switching to customer owned rate is significant as well as maintenance being significantly reduced with the LED system. A street by street

Discussion: cont.

analysis will be done as to which areas of the city need more lighting, areas of pedestrian conflict or high crime.

Questions:

Mr. Salvatore asked if there is any guarantee with the mention of a 76% reduction in costs?

Mr. Melendez responded we can guarantee the savings but there would be additional costs.

Mr. Salvatore asked about the conversion of 400,000 street lights.

Mr. Melendez clarified our company has converted 400,000 street lights nationwide and maintain them once converted.

Mr. Mencini stated what local communities have you worked with?

Mr. Melendez responded currently the City of Independence is the only city under contract. I have reached to every municipality in the area and no cities, I'm aware of, have done a city-wide LED street light conversion.

Mr. Mencini asked where would the dispatch crews be located?

Mr. Melendez responded a local subcontractor will be hired that will install the fixtures and maintain after installation.

Mr. Mencini questioned with a pole going down will that be covered by the contractors in a timely fashion to totally replace the pole?

Mr. Melendez responded yes, for instance a wooden pole with the city-owned arm and fixture head; the pole would still be property of First Energy. In the case of an emergency a crew is dispatched within 24 to 48 hours, depending on the maintenance agreement.

Mr. Mencini stated after First Energy does what needs to be done your company comes at whose cost?

Mr. Melendez responded the city's cost.

Mr. Poindexter asked do the numbers reflect the 2,300 fixtures currently owned by First Energy or are the city-owned fixtures included for upgrading.

Discussion: Questions: cont.

Mr. Melendez responded this analysis is based off the utility bill of the 2,300 leased fixtures from First Energy; there may be more or less fixtures.

Mr. Burgio stated with the hiring of the subcontractor would the city contact them if there is an outage?

Mr. Melendez responded the city would contact Tanko Lighting and install costs are taken into consideration but since this is the city's system. The city has the flexibility to either Tanko as the maintenance subcontractor or choose someone else.

Mr. Burgio commented if the city is dissatisfied the subcontractor can be changed.

Mr. Melendez responded contracts are one-year long and in some cases municipalities chose Tanko to maintain for the first year to get an understanding of how many failures and what to expect. The second year the city can have the choice of putting the contract out to bid or taking it over internally.

Mr. Orcutt asked what the \$43,000 for maintenance include?

Mr. Melendez responded the \$43,000 will include since the new fixtures have a ten-year old warranty that will include labor to send out a subcontractor in case a fixture goes out. The ten-year warranty includes if a fixture goes down it is taken down and shipped back to the manufacturer, these fixtures are tested for 22+ years.

Mr. Orcutt clarified that will include and labor?

Mr. Melendez concurred.

Mr. Orcutt stated the annual savings is \$337,000 subtracting \$43,000 for a rough total of \$294,000 annually. The total project is \$1.57 million-dollars after all rebates?

Mr. Melendez responded the rebates would go directly to the city and Tanko would explore other rebates available. This is low estimates for rebates and think there is a potential of getting per fixture rebate, this rebate only reflects the energy-savings.

Mr. Stemm clarified the shelf life is 22 years?

Discussion: Questions: cont.

Mr. Melendez concurred, all LED lights considered are grade-A manufactured and the city has the ability to look at all the manufacturers; those fixtures are tested for 100,00 hours or 22 ½ years.

Mr. Stemm clarified the \$1.5 million-dollars will be over a five-year period?

Mr. Melendez responded that would be the total project cost and the city has the ability to finance the whole project through other means. Tanko has no stake in that and will help the city facilitate those different offerings for the city to choose what financing option to select.

Mr. Vecchio asked Mr. Melendez to expound on 'Smart Cities Technologies'.

Mr. Renfrow stated the platform being talked about because of the relative position of street lighting. Through a smart gnome that can be used in place of a photo-cell a mesh network can be created. That is how there would be ability to communicate from one fixture to the next; giving blanket coverage across the entire region. There is a program called 'shot spotter' that would locate, identify and notify the police department within 12 seconds of a gunshot. Camera options can be put into the fixtures to look at atmosphere conditions; traffic or security points. GE (General Electric) has municipalities look at buying light hardware along with future proofing is what they're selling to have the ability to add the smart nodes or camera.

Mr. Vecchio questioned that would be a future cost to the city to purchase the equipment?

Mr. Renfrow commented this would create the ability to sell the Internet to the city's public. Revenue would be generated through street lighting which is currently at cost. That could become a cost-center to make money off of that technology.

Mr. Salvatore asked if there is an additional charge for emergency response, beyond the scope of the original agreement.

Mr. Melendez concurred.

Mr. Salvatore asked if there is a timeframe?

Mr. Melendez responded emergency responses are unpredictable and that would be included on a time and materials basis; crews sent out 24 to 48 hours. The normal request is within 48 to 72 hours with notification of request received and sent to subcontractor who will be dispatched once there are three or four jobs

Discussion: Questions: cont.

Mr. Salvatore stated if the city asks for an emergency response from Tanko and the city is waiting for the pole.

Mr. Melendez responded the utility provider may not be quick to respond so that could potentially be a situation.

Mr. Mencini stated what are some of the utility providers or third party used locally?

Mr. Renfrow stated the subcontractor in the area or the city can contract with an electrical contractor.

Mr. Mencini commented if a wooden pole goes down there is a chain of command with utility and cable providers. Who would the city go to if a pole comes down?

Mr. Renfrow responded the timing material will not start until the contractor gives the work. There will not be three days of billing while waiting but ultimately the city will have First Energy or phone companies that owns the poles and will be required to maintain and take care of.

Mr. Poindexter asked what kind of information would the city have on the local selected contractor, does the city have authority to choose the contractor?

Mr. Melendez responded the city will give feedback and keep the contractor as local as possible and have the truck and ability to scale a project of this size. If those requirements are met, the number to be installed and the analysis then those contractors will be considered.

Mr. Orcutt stated the \$43,000 example given is Tanko Lighting is the dispatcher and maintain the warranty.

Mr. Melendez concurred and stated the call center, web forum and everything under warranty is covered.

Mr. Orcutt continued with the reconciliation error of 4% to 12% and comes to be the city has been overcharged for ten years; what is that 4% to 12% on?

Mr. Renfrow responded when the audit is performed they will look at the billing showing 2,300 fixtures and will reconcile that they are at the proper wattage and billing rate, this is a one-time credit through the initial audit.

Mr. Orcutt stated with the city's annual cost somewhere in the middle the city is looking at \$30,000 in addition too?

Discussion: Questions: cont.

Mr. Renfrow responded yes, potentially.

Mr. Orcutt stated there are two main streets in Brook Park, Snow and Smith Roads, if the city wanted to put something decorative, such as Independence. Is that something that can't be done since the city is not set up like that currently?

Mr. Renfrow responded the decorative would have to change probably the type of feed, most of the decorative poles are underground fed. Looking at the current utility-owned arm it would be very difficult to go in with a decorative fixture.

Mr. Orcutt stated the last slide is for your representatives to do the study?

Mr. Melendez responded yes, that include the total retrofit costs and if the city moves forward with the audit that line item would be taken off of the retrofit costs; that is a line-item breakdown.

Mr. Scott thanked Tanko representatives for coming this evening.

Mr. Vecchio thanked Tanko representatives for a great presentation.

Motion by Mr. Salvatore, supported by Mr. Scott, that item number one was discussed.

ROLL CALL: AYES: Salvatore, Scott, Burgio, Orcutt, Stemm, Mencini, Poindexter
NAYS: None. The motion carried.

2. PROPOSED CHANGES TO COUNCIL RULES 1, 2, 4, AND 5 - (Councilman Scott)

Council Rule No. 1 - Meeting Place

Mr. Scott stated the amendment to rule no. 1 be deleting City Hall and inserting John A. Poloyne Community Center in the Council Community Room.

Motion by Mr. Mencini, supported by Mr. Poindexter, to the amendment on the January 22nd Caucus Prior to agenda.

ROLL CALL: AYES: Mencini, Poindexter, Stemm, Salvatore, Scott, Burgio, Orcutt
NAYS: None. The motion carried.

Discussion: cont.

Council Rule No. 2. - Meetings Public - Sunshine Law

Mr. Scott stated the amendment would be to letter B to include Sale and/or Purchase of Property.

Motion by Mr. Poindexter, supported by Mr. Mencini, to place on the January 22nd Caucus Prior to agenda.

ROLL CALL: AYES: Poindexter, Mencini, Stemm, Salvatore, Scott, Burgio, Orcutt

NAYS: None. The motion carried.

Council Rule No. 4 - Special Meetings

Mr. Scott would like the third paragraph deleted that reads 'Notices of Special Meetings shall be delivered to the place of residence of each Member of Council and any City Official requested to attend the meeting, by a member of the Police Department. The proof of notification form shall be signed by each member of Council and/or City Official, showing date and hour of delivery, and certified by the Delivering Officer. If the Delivering Officer is unable to obtain certification of delivery after two attempts, he may leave the notice in the mailbox at the residence of the Member of Council and/or City Official and indicate the date and hour with his signature, on the proof of notification form. The signed proof of notification form shall be returned to the Clerk of Council immediately after delivery of the notices.' This practice was stopped in August, 2016 by the Mayor in office due to dispatchers move to the City of Parma.

Mr. Mencini commented with the electronic world this can be removed and won't be much of a loss.

Mr. Salvatore stated this is included in the Charter Section 4.05 and doesn't want to supersede the Charter.

Mr. Vecchio commented the electronic delivery would be sent to the home also.

Mr. Salvatore suggested this amendment be turned over to the Charter Review Commission to clean up the language.

Mrs. Horvath agreed this is something that needs to be modernized and thinks it is a great idea to pass onto the Charter Review Commission; this definitely needs to be looked at by both Council and Charter Review Commission.

Mr. Vecchio commented this being stopped in 2016, there have been Charter violations with notices not being delivered by police officers.

Discussion: cont.

Council Rule No. 2. - Meetings Public - Sunshine Law

Mr. Scott stated the amendment would be to letter B to include Sale and/or Purchase of Property.

Motion by Mr. Poindexter, supported by Mr. Mencini, to place on the January 22nd Caucus Prior to agenda.

ROLL CALL: AYES: Poindexter, Mencini, Stemm, Salvatore, Scott, Burgio, Orcutt

NAYS: None. The motion carried.

Council Rule No. 4 - Special Meetings

Mr. Scott would like the third paragraph deleted that reads 'Notices of Special Meetings shall be delivered to the place of residence of each Member of Council and any City Official requested to attend the meeting, by a member of the Police Department. The proof of notification form shall be signed by each member of Council and/or City Official, showing date and hour of delivery, and certified by the Delivering Officer. If the Delivering Officer is unable to obtain certification of delivery after two attempts, he may leave the notice in the mailbox at the residence of the Member of Council and/or City Official and indicate the date and hour with his signature, on the proof of notification form. The signed proof of notification form shall be returned to the Clerk of Council immediately after delivery of the notices.' This practice was stopped in August, 2016 by the Mayor in office due to dispatchers move to the City of Parma.

Mr. Mencini commented with the electronic world this can be removed and won't be much of a loss.

Mr. Salvatore stated this is included in the Charter Section 4.05 and doesn't want to supersede the Charter.

Mr. Vecchio commented the electronic delivery would be sent to the home also.

Mr. Salvatore suggested this amendment be turned over to the Charter Review Commission to clean up the language.

Mrs. Horvath agreed this is something that needs to be modernized and thinks it is a great idea to pass onto the Charter Review Commission; this definitely needs to be looked at by both Council and Charter Review Commission.

Mr. Vecchio commented this being stopped in 2016, there have been Charter violations with notices not being delivered by police officers.

Discussion: cont.

Mr. Salvatore - Point of Order, can you cite the infraction?

Mr. Vecchio - the infraction would be that notices have to be delivered to residences and signed, that is the infraction.

Mr. Salvatore - it doesn't have to be if picked up in the Council office or left in the door.

Mr. Vecchio - if this hasn't been done in two years that could be and wasn't here when the former Mayor stopped the police officers from delivering notices. As a Council, you have the ability to invoke Council rule no. 23.

Mr. Salvatore - You can't change the Charter with a 4-3 vote.

Mr. Scott mentioned this is for discussion only and if the Charter Review Commission should look at and bring back so be it, this is to modernize and streamline items.

Motion by Mr. Salvatore, supported by Mr. Stemm, that Council rule no. 4 was discussed.

ROLL CALL: AYES: Salvatore, Stemm, Mencini, Poindexter, Scott, Burgio, Orcutt

NAYS: None. The motion carried.

Council Rule No. 5 - Standing Committees

Mr. Scott stated the amendment would be delete City Hall and insert John A. Poloyne Community Center in the Council room. Also, Council no longer meets on the fourth Tuesday so remove that and change the time from 7:30 p.m. to 7:00 p.m.

Mr. Salvatore suggested having the rule coincide with the approved meeting schedule that is approved at the beginning of the year. Also, the Charter is clear that Council shall meet in the Council Chambers at such time described by rules, regulations and ordinances except it shall hold regular meetings twice a month.

Motion by Mr. Stemm, supported by Mr. Mencini, to Council rule no. 5 was discussed.

ROLL CALL: AYES: Stemm, Mencini, Poindexter, Salvatore, Scott, Burgio, Orcutt

NAYS: None. The motion carried.

Discussion: cont.

3. RESOLUTION NO. 18-2007, ADOPTING A MISSION STATEMENT, STATEMENT OF PRINCIPLES FOR PUBLIC RECORDS AND ADOPTING A PUBLIC RECORDS POLICY AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY. Introduced by Mayor Elliott.
Adopted 10/16/07. (Councilman Scott)

Mr. Scott stated back in 2007 there was a records custodian and now the city is more electronically means available for streamlining of records. My question is has there been any duplication of requests i.e. law department, Council office; finance department? Is there a way the city can have a specific address (email) for records requests?

Mrs. Horvath responded most of the language in the ordinance and attachment are aspirational in nature that talks about the city being transparent. Previously, there was a person in the finance department that served as the custodian of records and that duty was passed to the law department. Whenever, a records request is received the city has a form is completed and sent to the law department. The form asks who the requester is, what records were requested and when those records were sent to requester. The problem the city gets into is when there are massive records request made and seems to occur with the Council office, Building Department and sometimes the law department. Another issue is when people request the same records over and over with person A requesting a public record and then person B requesting what person A wanted, meaning same records are being distributed to different people. This seems to happen in the Council office with matters of legislation, minutes and so forth. So the question is how can that be streamlined to situations because, for the most part, the system in place works.

Mr. Salvatore stated to Law Director Horvath my understanding that all requests went through the law department. If it's not being done that way so there no duplicate request.

Mrs. Horvath responded the law department becomes aware of requests when the one-page form is received to be put in the book, the law department only gets involved if there is a problem with answering or fulfilling requests. Not sure how to handle one person records requests because records are stored in different departments and not sure how technological handle that.

Mr. Vecchio asked Law Director Horvath in listening to your response it sounds that the city needs to have a records custodian or facilitator to handle all incoming requests, disperse to departments, retrieval from them and then disperse to the requester; in order to eliminate redundancies.

Discussion: cont.

Mrs. Horvath responded the city has a records custodian and don't know if you want to do that with police or fire department requests. What would be needed is a clerical clerk to go to various departments for assistance with copying and so forth.

Mr. Cingle stated the city has a records custodian and don't think the city will ever get away from individuals requesting information to specific employees i.e. finance department. As far as streamlining to a one person not sure if the city will ever get there. If records are requested the requester goes to the person having the requested records. The city complies with the law of HB 9 adopted in 2006-2007.

Mr. Poindexter suggested creating a digital file of records requests to be kept on file for however long necessary. This way if the same information is requested the file can be printed or emailed.

Mr. Salvatore suggested placing a part-time person in the law department to handle records requests and other duties necessary.

Mr. Poindexter suggested with new technology possibly there should be discussion of forming a technology committee.

Mr. Vecchio concurred and stated that definitely needs to be looked at to advance the city forward.

Mr. Orcutt asked the Council minutes and legislation are digital and in an electronic file.

Mr. Vecchio responded items on the website are from 2015 and forward and 1995 forward are kept in digital files by the clerk; anything pre-1995 is still paper that needs to be scanned.

Motion by Mr. Mencini, supported by Mr. Orcutt, that item number 3 was discussed.

ROLL CALL: AYES: Mencini, Orcutt, Burgio, Scott, Salvatore, Poindexter, Stemm

NAYS: None. The motion carried.

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE - CHAIRMAN, MENCINI:

1. AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTIONS 1323.03 OF THE BROOK PARK CODIFIED ORDINANCES AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.
Introduced by Mayor Gammella.

Mr. Mencini stated the proposed changes to property inspections policies recognize a recent court decision affecting similar policies in other Ohio municipalities. The certificates in question are housing licenses and occupancy certificates at point of sale.

Ted Hurst
City Building Commissioner
5590 Smith Road

Mr. Hurst stated going through some processes that Mayor Gammella addressed earlier in the year with efficiency and address more issues. The department looked at reallocating city resources with streetscapes and neighborhoods. The communities doing point of sale inspections, rental inspections and those types of things. Brook Park is one of the very few that still go into property interiors, mostly only exteriors are being done. Going forward the building department will be doing plain-view site inspections of the point of sale and rentals. Speaking with realtors, it is my understanding that the majority of homebuyers hire a private home inspector due to reasons of a one or two-year home warranty on major equipment; being redundant and not necessary for city personnel to inspect interior of homes.

Mr. Mencini asked the interior inspection will be handled on the sale of the home?

Mr. Hurst responded anytime a home is sold building department personnel will do a point of sale inspection of the exterior in plain-view.

Mr. Salvatore stated to Mr. Hurst reading this legislation there are only two minor changes by eliminating interior.

Mr. Hurst concurred.

Mr. Salvatore stated until this passes interior inspections are being done and won't stop doing interior inspections until this passes.

Mr. Hurst responded no, this procedure was stopped in November and needs to be passed quickly as possible.

Mr. Poindexter asked Mr. Hurst how will this affect renters?

Legislative Committee - Chairman, Mencini: cont.

Mr. Hurst responded there are several issues involving renters and how that issue takes place. There are privacy issues with private property, building department has the ability to go into a house if needed. If there is a need to enter a house for whatever case the building department presents that evidence to a judge to issue a warrant; in situations that has been used and isn't going away.

Mr. Orcutt stated to Mr. Hurst the interior inspections that are done by home inspectors a report is written and given to the mortgage financier and whoever else. Does the city get a copy of that report?

Mr. Hurst responded no.

Mr. Orcutt asked if the city can obtain a report.

Mr. Hurst responded probably, if the city requested it. This is a private home inspector working for a private owner, whether the buyer or seller; not sure if the city is privy to that information. The private home inspector goes in and does a thorough inspection and tells the home buyer the things they should be looking for; it's up to the home buyer to buy a home that is not up to par or standard.

Mr. Orcutt asked where the plain-view inspection conducted from?

Mr. Hurst responded anywhere city personnel can visibly see the property. The law allows city personnel to knock on the door and the homeowner tells us to leave, city personnel must go to the sidewalk. Mr. Hurst clarified the terminology throughout the state is point of sale because the re-occupancy occurs during the point of sale.

Motion by Mr. Salvatore, supported by Mr. Orcutt, to place on the next Council agenda.

ROLL CALL: AYES: Salvatore, Orcutt, Burgio, Scott, Poindexter, Mencini

NAYS: None.

ABSTENTION: Stemm. The motion carried with a vote of 6 and one abstention.

2. AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 1414 OF THE BROOK PARK CODIFIED ORDINANCES ENTITLED 'RESIDENTIAL RENTAL HOUSING REGISTRATION' AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY. Introduced by Mayor Gammella

Mr. Hurst stated there will be no more interior inspections of properties and has been left in there on the request by the homeowner. If a homeowner calls and asks for a house inspection for selling purposes city personnel will accommodate that.

Legislative Committee - Chairman, Mencini: cont.

Mr. Burgio commented as a realtor almost everyone gets their home inspector, who are very thorough and could be 60 - 75 pages for review with the homebuyers.

Mr. Vecchio stated to Mr. Hurst if this isn't changed and interior inspections continue and something was missed. Would the city be open to litigation?

Mr. Hurst responded I don't think so because the language is clear that inspection is visible and may not all inclusive of all or any violations, that is not a big concern. The concern is mandating that inspection and address issues from the outside of the properties to keep home values up; home values stay up when streetscapes and exterior properties stay up.

Mr. Salvatore asked if a resident asks building department personnel to look at the inside of the home, that will take place.

Mr. Hurst responded yes, the interior inspection would be done but not as a point of sale but as a visual inspection of specific items.

Mr. Mencini asked if there are concerns of a home the city still has the health and wellness for the community?

Mr. Hurst responded there are avenues that can be taken to obtain results that are best for the community and resident. The department has the ability to obtain warrants to enter into a property of concern. The only change to the legislation is the language in 1414.02 (j) - Inspection 'the scope of the inspection shall be limited to such areas that are in plain view'.

Motion by Mr. Salvatore, supported by Mr. Orcutt, to place on the next Council agenda.

ROLL CALL: AYES: Salvatore, Orcutt, Burgio, Scott, Poindexter, Mencini

NAYS: None.

ABSTENTION: Stemm. The motion carried with a vote of 6 with one abstention.

SERVICE COMMITTEE - CHAIRMAN, ORCUTT:

1. AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO HIRE INSPECTOR(S) FOR BROOK PARK CONTRACTS 1802, 1803 AND 1804 AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY. Introduced by Mayor Gammella.

Mr. Salvatore asked if contracts 1802, 1803 and 1804 will be moving forward to 2019 or null and void.

Mr. Piatak responded those contracts will not move forward.

Motion by Mr. Salvatore, supported by Mr. Scott, to Read in Committee.

ROLL CALL: AYES: Salvatore, Scott, Burgio, Orcutt, Stemm, Mencini, Poindexter
NAYS: None. The motion carried.

2. AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT OF COOPERATION WITH THE COUNTY OF CUYAHOGA FOR THE RESURFACING OF SHELDON ROAD (COUNTY ID#1224) AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY. Introduced by Mayor Gammella.

Mr. Piatak stated this one of three pieces of legislation coming forward for the Sheldon Road project; this piece is the consent legislation between the county and City of Brook Park. The next piece of legislation coming forward will authorize the agreement between Middleburg Hts. and City of Brook Park; third piece of legislation will be for an agreement with the city for design services and construction administration for completion. This is a boiler-plate legislation from the county and there are some inaccuracies such as the first Whereas' calls for resurfacing of Sheldon Road between Eastland and Harrow. After further consideration and consultation with the water department the waterline east of Engle Road along Sheldon is in bad shape and it doesn't make sense to resurface to have the waterline blow up again. In speaking with the county I raised the question if the county has a problem with stopping at Engle Road and the response was no from the county. I stated the legislation doesn't reflect that and the response from the county was this is how it was passed. The county has no objections to shortening the project and it's still a 50-50 program and capped at \$250,000. This legislation authorizes the motor vehicle license tax monies collected by the county and has nothing to do with the tax collected by the City of Brook Park.

Mr. Mencini asked if amendments are needed?

Mr. Piatak responded it was requested by the county to be passed as written.

Mr. Orcutt asked Mr. Piatak with the \$641,000 and the project is now shortened will that price be reduced?

Mr. Piatak responded the price is approximately \$700,000 with the limits of where the city is at right now and that issue was brought forward to the county and the county's response is that the city is still getting \$250,000.

Mr. Orcutt continued to Mr. Piatak monies left over are divided with the City of Middleburg Hts., correct.

Mr. Piatak concurred and that will be the next piece coming forward spelling out the agreement between the Cities of Brook Park and Middleburg Hts. and what both cities responsibilities are with the intent of splitting 50-50 with monies that are left. Economic Development Commissioner Adams requested a \$50,000 grant through the county's Community Development Block Program that the city is still waiting to hear if that was successful. If the grant is successful that would be allocated for Brook Park's share, not towards the project, so the city would see that full \$50,000 grant money for this project.

Mr. Poindexter verified with Mrs. Horvath that this legislation would be fine passed with the project stopping at Engle Road.

Mrs. Horvath concurred with Mr. Piatak and when reviewing this legislation on what the county passed and thinks Brook Park will be fine with reciprocating.

Motion by Mr. Salvatore, supported by Mr. Poindexter, to place on the next Council agenda.

ROLL CALL: AYES: Salvatore, Poindexter, Mencini, Stemm, Orcutt, Burgio, Scott
NAYS: None. The motion carried.

Note: EXECUTIVE SESSION PER MAYOR GAMMELLA

1. NEGOTIATIONS OF BARGAINING SESSIONS WITH PUBLIC EMPLOYEES CONCERNING COMPENSATION.

Motion by Mr. Mencini, supported by Mr. Poindexter, to go into Executive Session for Negotiations of Bargaining Sessions with Public Employees concerning compensation.

ROLL CALL: AYES: Mencini, Poindexter, Stemm, Salvatore, Scott, Burgio, Orcutt
NAYS: None. The motion carried at 9:20 p.m.

In attendance. Members of Council, Mayor Gammella, Law Director Horvath, Finance Director Cingle and city's Labor Counsel, Jim Budzik.

Motion by Mr. Mencini, supported by Mr. Stemm, to go back to the Regular Order of Business.

ROLL CALL: AYES: Mencini, Stemm, Poindexter, Salvatore, Scott, Burgio, Orcutt
NAYS: None. The motion carried at 10:11 p.m.

There being no further business to come before this meeting a **motion** by Mr. Mencini, supported by Mr. Stemm, to adjourn.

ROLL CALL: AYES: Mencini, Stemm, Poindexter, Salvatore, Scott, Burgio, Orcutt
NAYS: None. The motion carried.

Council President Vecchio declared this meeting adjourned at 10:12 p.m.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED *Michelle Blazak*
Michelle Blazak
Clerk of Council

APPROVED *February 12, 2019*

THESE MEETING MINUTES APPROVED BY BROOK PARK CITY COUNCIL ARE A SYNOPSIS, NOT TRANSCRIBED IN THEIR ENTIRETY, ALTHOUGH ACCURATE.

5,346 words

Distributed 7/7/2019