

**REGULAR CAUCUS MEETING
OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BROOK PARK, OHIO
HELD ON TUESDAY, JUNE 8, 2021**

The meeting was called to order by Council President Vecchio at 7:00 p.m., the clerk called the roll and the following Members of Council answered:

SCOTT, SCHMUCK, ORCUTT, MENCINI, POINDEXTER, SALVATORE

Also in attendance were Mayor Gammella, Law Director Horvath, Finance Director Cingle and Recreation Director Elliott.

Councilman Troyer was properly excused. Moment of silence taken for Councilman Troyer's mother who recently passed away.

Mr. Mencini stated condolences to Mr. Troyer's mother.

Motion by Mr. Salvatore, supported by Mrs. Schmuck, to return to Council Chambers to conduct business prior to the COVID-19 pandemic.

ROLL CALL: AYES: Salvatore, Schmuck, Orcutt, Scott, Poindexter, Mencini
NAYS: None. The motion carried.

Motion by Mr. Mencini, supported by Mr. Scott, to go out of the regular order of business.

ROLL CALL: AYES: Mencini, Scott, Schmuck, Orcutt, Poindexter, Salvatore
NAYS: None. The motion carried.

Mr. Mencini stated there are posts on social media and don't let social media bother me too much and am not on it but get a lot of posts. Sometimes, when items are put on social media that definitely not true i.e. lies, Councilmembers living out of the city and things of that nature, I have an issue with that. Sometimes, it's from people who you think would be a little better that are looking for a position and would uphold themselves in a better way. The issue I'm having currently is anybody on Council, might be referring to me for all I know, had two (2) residents ask me over the weekend. Is there anybody on Council that lives out of this city?

Mr. Vecchio polled Council by a show of hands, didn't see any hands.

Motion by Mr. Mencini, supported by Mr. Scott, to go back to the regular order of business.

ROLL CALL: AYES: Mencini, Scott, Schmuck, Orcutt, Poindexter, Salvatore
NAYS: None. The motion carried.

Motion by Mr. Poindexter, supported by Mr. Mencini, to go into Executive Session for Collective Bargaining Matters.

ROLL CALL: AYES: Poindexter, Mencini, Salvatore, Scott, Schmuck, Orcutt

NAYS: None. The motion carried at 7:15 p.m.

In attendance: Councilmembers, Mayor Gammella, Law Director Horvath, Finance Director Cingle and city labor attorney, Brian Spitzer.

Motion by Mr. Mencini, supported by Mr. Salvatore, to go back to the regular order of business.

ROLL CALL: AYES: Mencini, Salvatore, Poindexter, Orcutt, Schmuck, Scott

NAYS: None. The motion carried at 7:43 p.m.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF PRECEDING MEETINGS:

1. Regular Caucus Meeting Minutes held on May 11, 2021.

Motion by Mr. Mencini, supported by Mrs. Schmuck, to approve as printed.

ROLL CALL: AYES: Mencini, Schmuck, Scott, Orcutt, Poindexter, Salvatore

NAYS: None. The motion carried.

DISCUSSION:

1. CITY COUNCIL IDEAS RELATING TO WIFI OPTIONS BROUGHT FORWARD BY THE TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE POWER POINT PRESENTATION AT THE MAY 11, 2021 CAUCUS MEETING (Councilman Poindexter).

Mr. Poindexter stated wanted to open this up to get thoughts from Council and the Mayor on the proposal by the technology committee and where this potential project may be headed, with the different scenarios presented.

Mr. Scott stated there three (3) cost-proposals presented and one of them was what the school board liked for \$75,000.00 or around there. The question was what percentage would the school board willing to pick up for school associated activities.

Mr. Poindexter responded in discussions with the school board they like any of the proposals that covered the baseball fields, the library or anywhere students could come and access the internet for homework purposes of study purposes. The school board never declared a percentage or dollar amount because the board wants to see the infrastructure there and then get on board. Don't want to jump in beforehand and the city spend the school district resources, that are stretched fairly thin. The board showed interest in getting on board after the fact.

Mr. Scott stated there's no guarantee?

Mr. Poindexter responded no, there is no guarantee or anything provided in writing, at this point. If the city were to implement one of the proposals, then the

Discussion: cont.

committee could go back to the school board and say the infrastructure is here and would the board be willing to form a partnership to help fund the program. Or do different programs that would link the city's WIFI to the different programs the school offers.

Mr. Mencini stated to Mr. Poindexter the committee did a great job with this but not getting that sense of urgency and not hearing WIFI in the park. It's good to have these amenities but what is the urgency? Is the technology committee or library hearing that people would like WIFI in the park?

Mr. Poindexter responded don't know if there so much of an urgency but this is something that would set Brook Park apart from other communities, is likely to bring more residents to the city. For example, people are used to working from home or remotely during COVID-19 and even though restrictions are being lifted people may want to go to the city park and work for the day; or on lunch break search the web while eating lunch in the park. This is an amenity that would enhance learning for children to do homework at the park. The library could then expand services outside of their facility i.e. outdoor learning and such. With the upcoming amphitheater having huge events people could be posting and streaming the event over WIFI. It's not that this is an urgency it's that this is a modern technology and this would go a long way to modernize the city.

Mr. Orcutt stated for the record, for the people in the audience if you could reiterate the three (3) different options and pricing for those. The technology committee that can advise and work on different issues for technology for the community. Also, want to know where this direction came, did it come from the Mayor's office, for the committee to look into to see what the options and prices were?

Mr. Poindexter responded the Mayor is on the committee and all directions come from the Mayor and/or Council.

Mr. Orcutt continued this came from the Mayor that wanted to look into WIFI for the library and baseball fields.

Mr. Poindexter commented with the Mayor's direction the committee sought the information. There were three (3) proposals with two (2) proposals involving a partnership with public library; both partnerships with the library would be five (5) year terms. The first (1st) proposal would be a smaller portion outside of the library to the memorial and Kennedy Park, north end of property, with a total cost for the five (5) years was \$30,035.00. The second proposal with the library covered much more of the campus area to Kennedy field, large portion of the recreation center parking lot and pretty much all of the west side of city property

Discussion: cont.

with a total cost of \$70,905.00 for five (5) years. The third proposal was a private-public partnership with WOW (Internet Provider) for a three-year (3) term covering almost the entire campus and can be expanded in the future. In my opinion this option is more versatile and the total cost for the three-years (3) is \$46,660.00. I think the WOW partnership would be better served for what the city would like to achieve, ultimately, because of the flexibility there is with the data-speeds. Also, the ability that the owns and maintains the equipment giving the city control over the service. There is a flexibility during peak times and slow times to increase or slow down the speed as necessary, generating cost-savings during the winter months. If the city went with the WOW partnership the city's IT consultant via email stated there would be no additional charge to maintain the equipment. If the city were going to go with the library partnerships per email received today with answers to outstanding questions. During the presentation a splash page was mentioned when logging into the system it directs to a splash page. With WOW it was determined that the city can have sponsors rent space and wasn't sure, at the time, if the committee could do that with the library option; it was determined that the city can get sponsors for the splash page. That could be updated every six (6) months by selling six-month (6) sponsorships with the library option. If the city decides to go with the smaller package, northern part of the library-park, there could be upgrades made in the future to expand to other areas.

Mr. Orcutt stated the committee did a great job presenting with good questions asked and appreciate you providing that information for the residents. I, as one Councilman, have to reiterate when thinking about capital improvements made to the city cash must be diversified, especially in Ward 3, with the issues of roads and flooding being number one (1) priority. Those are items that will have to be taken into consideration as a priority over anything else, at this point.

Mr. Poindexter commented so you're saying nothing else can be looked at until flooding issues are resolved?

Mr. Orcutt responded no, when looking at the budget, for example, the waterpark. Council approved \$150,000.00 to upgrade and fix issues with that and thought that was very important for the city. Entities have to be provided that will give the residents something fun to do. As Council sits and looks at diversifying our cash I must look at everything and say we've improved the waterpark that is important to the city, but should we spend all the money in only one area of the city?

Mr. Poindexter stated if the most expensive plan for the WIFI for the entire city campus would be an average cost of \$15,000.00 a year.

Mr. Orcutt responded would like to see that presentation because there were

Discussion: cont.

different things talked about with some shared costs with the school district. The presentation was very good and the committee did very good research but I have to, right now, associate a lot of things that are happening in Ward 3. With flooding and road repairs versus other things that are happening in the city.

Mr. Poindexter commented if my memory serves me correctly, Ward 3 probably received the most monies for roads this budget. I understand your point that roads are important and sewer problems but also developing and modernizing the city is important. As well as, bringing tech-savvy people and businesses that requires technology like this is important. The more the city presents itself as a city of the future the more the city will become that city of the future and be able to pay more for flooding and roads; we have to lure the businesses of the 21st century into our city.

Mr. Orcutt continued if that can generate cash I'm all in. All I'm stating is when I start approving different dollars for capital improvements for the community I have to actually see where that money is going and maybe \$15,000.00 doesn't sound a lot of money. When dealing with a budget of over \$20 million-dollars but that \$15,000.00 could go towards catch-basin cleaning, catch-basin repairs and other items that would actually help out in an area where residents are getting flooding, but it is still a concern to residents.

Mr. Poindexter continued in the proposal, there is nothing in writing, but most of that \$15,000.00 could be offset through sponsorship on the splash-page, partnership with the school board, possible partnership with the library even if the city went with the WOW private option. It is \$15,000.00 on cost now but by the end of it could be significantly less. This city will never realize those potential partnerships unless the city makes the effort first to become the leader in the area and community to do something outside of the box.

Mr. Orcutt stated appreciate your point on this and like how you are fighting for this for the committee you belong to and reiterated the committee did a wonderful job. If there is some way you can prove and show to me that by putting internet everywhere, think is a good idea. If that can be provided for an entity to city residents' that is great but if you could provide information on how the city may be able to generate more cash from doing so. To put into roads and flooding relief I'd certainly like to see that.

Mayor Gammella stated roads and drainage are important to city just as safety is. 20-years from now having WIFI in the park will be common. To Mr. Poindexter's point we want to be a city that's moving ahead, that is aggressive and progressive and could do it with a program that's only going to be \$15,000.00 a year, to see how it works out; if it doesn't work out the city can pull it back. If you read the

Discussion: cont.

articles greenspace is important to the future of the city as well as internet access being extremely important. Mr. Poindexter's point and the technology committee point getting this out to the masses is very, very important, especially with what just happened with COVID-19. Some people in our city can't afford internet and perhaps in the future the city will be looking at internet coverage of the entire city. I think it's very important that this be looked at for the future because 20-years from now people will say Brook Park has a park and/or building with no internet. Just like the charging stations the city is having put in with a NOPEC grant that people think what is that but in 20-years there will probably be more charging stations than gas stations, agree with Councilman Poindexter.

Mr. Orcutt stated in no way, shape or form do I think that this is a bad idea, to have extra amenities like this in a community is fantastic. It's just things that I, as a Ward Councilman 3, have to deal with everyday phone calls asking what is being done about flooding. Next week I think there will be many people in these Council chambers that want to speak to Council about flooding, not arguing this point is bad but will have to take into consideration.

Mr. Poindexter commented would like to see this project go forward, understand the Councilmember's concerns of flooding, share same concerns. I represent all city wards and think this is crucial to the growth of the city. Would attract young creative minds and one step of a bigger picture to modernize the city. Would like the Mayor to go over the proposals thoroughly and there will be upcoming discussion of an amphitheater and the city will be having dive-in movies, other things going on in the main campus and Home Days, would like to see legislation drafted to move forward.

Mr. Mencini stated I understand Mr. Orcutt and Mr. Poindexter completely, but will say when the energy conservation project was done in the recreation center and did the walk through of what was going to be done. Looking at the Council chambers tonight it's nothing compared to the original plan, there was supposed to be laptops, screens and many other things. I'm good with moving forward but also agree with Mr. Orcutt that as ward leaders we get the calls about the needs and urgencies of the city. Also, agree with Mayor Gammella and Mr. Poindexter that the city has to move ahead. Do I personally believe that having WIFI in the park will bring young residents to the city, no I don't, but it does help for the future?

Mr. Scott stated to Mr. Poindexter, if the city goes through with the WOW proposal the waterpark is not covered, correct?

Mr. Poindexter responded I believe the WOW package does cover the waterpark. During the proposal it was mentioned the WOW package covers the entire campus

Discussion: cont.

with a dead-spot in the southeast corner by former Brook Park Memorial and along the south end of Engle Road. Also, with the WOW package more receptacles can be added that send the signal out due to the city owning and maintaining them. The city can also up the internet speed to accommodate more if needed that's why I think that proposal is the best due to flexibility.

Mr. Vecchio asked Mr. Poindexter with the possible involvement with the library is that a 50-50 split cost wise?

Mr. Poindexter responded the city will pay for the equipment with the library maintaining and operating the equipment. This proposal basically expands the service the library has to expend out to the city's property.

Mr. Vecchio clarified the full cost is \$30,000.00 for five (5) years at \$6,000.00 a year.

Mr. Poindexter stated \$6,000.00 a year would only cover the north-end of the property.

Mr. Vecchio concurred and asked would the City of Brook Park be responsible for the \$6,000.00 or library?

Mr. Poindexter responded that would be the city's responsibility, all the costs provided in the proposal are Brook Park's costs.

Mr. Vecchio stated I personally would balk with the library proposal because residents already pay more property tax to the Cuyahoga County Library then to the City of Brook Park.

Mr. Poindexter reiterated that is the cost for the equipment.

Mr. Vecchio concurred and commented that the library just received a healthy tax increase from the previous election, as well as the library being on campus using great space.

Mr. Salvatore stated would like to see what is being done with the park first with the amphitheater going into the right place. Also like the idea of bringing the library onboard with picking up more of the costs for the very reason Mr. Vecchio brought up, that should be something the library should be providing. The city has ideas for a beautiful park as well as other issues with flooding and other issues that need to be addressed. By no means do I think we should be a one-dimensional Council and only do one thing. We need to figure out what is going to fit and try to accomplish as much as possible with what we have by prioritizing

Discussion: cont.

what is most important.

Motion by Mr. Poindexter, supported by Mr. Orcutt, that this was discussed.

ROLL CALL: AYES: Poindexter, Orcutt, Schmuck, Scott, Salvatore, Mencini

NAYS: None. The motion carried.

2. ORDINANCE NO. 11192-2021 AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO CREATE A 'SAFE EXCHANGE ZONE' BY THE BROOK PARK POLICE DEPARTMENT AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY. Introduced by Councilman Mencini.

Mr. Mencini stated there has been lengthy past discussions on this and referred to Mr. Salvatore for correct verbiage.

Mr. Salvatore stated in the title eliminate the word safe to read authorizing the Mayor to create an exchange zone, first Whereas remove the word a safe to read an exchange zone. Second Whereas remove the word safe and replace with 'the' to read the exchange zone. Also, remove the word safe to read an environment. Third Whereas remove the word safe and replace with 'an' to read and exchange zone. Section 1 remove the word safe and 'a' and insert 'an' to read an exchange zone. Also, remove surveillance and insert cameras. Section 3 remove the word safe and change 'a' to 'an' to read an exchange zone.

Mr. Salvatore mentioned removing 24-hour cameras to read cameras.

Mr. Mencini asked Mayor Gammella is there anything wrong with the cameras at the police station currently?

Mayor Gammella responded no, but anything can happen just like with the livestreaming problems; 95% of the time will be 24-hours but things come up. If the cameras go out they will be fixed but to say there is 24-hour monitoring seven (7) days a week can see Mr. Salvatore's point.

Mr. Mencini commented absolutely, during Zoom meetings I mentioned nothing is foolproof there is no guarantee that something won't happen that is out of the city's control. Even though other cities call this 'Safe Exchange Zone'.

Mayor Gammella commented as once told to me when having security installed in my home 95% of coverage is with the posted sign.

Mr. Salvatore stated the other option obviously is this legislation creates the zone authorizing the Mayor to implement and going forward the Mayor could set a timeframe for when this is allowed to be done.

Discussion: cont.

Mr. Mencini interjected didn't want to get into that, the other cities didn't do that. Deals are made at different times with work schedules and so forth. The police department is over 24-hours and think the exchange zone could be open 24-hours, did not want limitations.

Mr. Scott concurred with Mr. Mencini to have a time-limit, would make this legislation non-existent.

Mr. Vecchio polled Council with the changes and have new legislation for next Tuesday. Vote was unanimous by voice from Councilmembers' in attendance.

Motion by Mr. Salvatore, supported by Mr. Mencini, to place new legislation on the June 15, 2021 Caucus Prior to agenda.

ROLL CALL: AYES: Salvatore, Mencini, Poindexter, Scott, Schmuck, Orcutt

NAYS: None. The motion carried.

3. AMPHITHEATER CONTRACT (Mayor Gammella).

Mayor Gammella stated the city has a \$100,000.00 grant that has to be utilized this year for the amphitheater. The city went out to bid and deferred to Recreation Director Elliott.

Mr. Elliott stated documents before Council is a site plan, the engineer's estimate, photo of the amphitheater and construction schedule. This began with receiving the state grant that is a reimbursement base. Council approved legislation in April allowing the city to go out to bid and had one bidder that came in at \$142,881.00, within the engineer's estimate. That bidder was Metis Construction of Kent, Ohio who included references and following-up on those references all of them were very positive and outstanding reports. With the construction schedule the shop drawings will take approximately 22 days and once the city receives the engineering drawings both the city's building commissioner and engineer will review for approval with a finished product the mid-part of September. Many delays have been reached due to the costs increasing for wood and/or steel projects. With past discussions of the noise and being turned a certain way, the amphitheater will be moved back approximately 200' from the gazebo at the former City Hall/Council Chambers location and also will be turned. The other thing added by the parking lot is a sidewalk, not in original discussions. Installing the sidewalk now will meet ADA (American Disabilities Act) requirements and will be tied into the side of the amphitheater that will be an excellent feature to the community.

Mr. Vecchio questioned the size of the amphitheater?

Mr. Elliott responded the size is 47'x25' and should fit proportionally.

Discussion: cont.

Mr. Salvatore asked Mr. Elliott are there other designs with a theater atmosphere quote, as talked about, with permanent seating.

Mr. Elliott responded being the terrain is flat and other parts of this have been talked about but it's not built in a way that the grade goes up or down. This amphitheater will be off the ground slightly by six (6) inches, this will be more of a level-type seating area.

Mr. Salvatore asked Mr. Elliott if a quote could be provided for permanent seating with this design?

Mr. Elliott responded that was not priced but was trying to control the cost due to not being sure how much further the city wanted to go; that is something that could be entertained in the future.

Mr. Mencini stated to Mr. Elliott great job getting the grant, will there be bathrooms over there?

Mr. Elliott responded that is not part of this particular project we focused on using the dollars for this structure only, with the addition of the sidewalk due to safety concerns. Perhaps, the next phase the restroom facilities could be entertained to be closer. The seating and restrooms are pieces to be added on to the area and something that is needed.

Mr. Mencini asked Mr. Elliott who will be maintaining the amphitheater and will this end up being a hangout.

Mayor Gammella stated the city will maintain it because if we don't then we shouldn't be spending the money on it. First thing is getting the structure with the \$100,000.00 grant.

Mr. Mencini commented when the city had fireworks for the Christmas event at 6:00 p.m., I received calls from Engle Court and Engle Road about the noise and told the callers to take one for the team due to COVID-19. When it's 11:00 p.m. I will be getting calls about the noise coming from the amphitheater. As mentioned in the past this city has to get things done right and this is a beautiful project need to make sure it's right.

Mayor Gammella commented this city does things right.

Mr. Elliott continued I understand those concerns but think there are baseball fields near residents' homes with rules that the lights are turned off at a certain time. From a music standpoint the city won't be going late at night like with Home

Discussion: cont.

Days everything is done by 11:00 p.m.

Mr. Orcutt stated to Mr. Elliott on page two (2) with reference number one (1) with the 47'x25' states a labor amount of \$61,000.00 and a material amount of \$70,000.00. Does that \$70,000.00 for materials include the electrical, or is that separate?

Mr. Elliott responded the \$70,000.00 is the cost of the structure with the labor being \$61,000.00. There has been talk about using NOPEC grant funding monies the city receives and speaking with NOPEC this project qualifies for utilization of those dollars.

Mr. Orcutt continued by asking the base of the amphitheater is all concrete, correct?

Mr. Elliott concurred.

Mr. Orcutt continued the city will get the amphitheater and sidewalk from the parking lot to the theater? With electrical being separate using different monies?

Mr. Elliott concurred.

Mr. Poindexter stated the picture isn't very detailed will the amphitheater be built right on grade or elevated?

Mr. Elliott responded will be up approximately six-inches (6).

Mr. Poindexter asked how tall will it be at the peak?

Mr. Elliott responded will get back to you on that.

Mr. Poindexter commented for certain events the city may do with a stage elevation in order for people in the back can see the event. Is that something that was explored in the design of this?

Mr. Elliott responded yes, the city would have the capability to do something like that and all the power would be behind the back wall. There could be many different ways to utilize all that the city will be able to do. The amphitheater is a good height and from the front to the back is 25', wouldn't want to estimate but might be 15' high in the front.

Mr. Salvatore asked Mr. Elliott asked what is behind the wall?

Discussion: cont.

Mr. Elliott responded the power source with all electrical behind the wall.

Mr. Salvatore asked will there be a portable stage to move in and out, if needed.

Mr. Elliott responded it is not a storage area but a small stage could be put there if wanted but that is not something that comes with that.

Mrs. Schmuck stated the stage is impressive and brings arts into the city, the schools can have plays and also bands. I'm impressed and thank you for the all the work for the grant.

Mr. Elliott thanked Mrs. Schmuck and commented a lot of people were involved in that grant, the administration and State Representative Bride Sweeney.

Mr. Vecchio asked Mr. Elliott if there is a timeframe for this money either use or lose it?

Mr. Elliott responded this is last year's, due to COVID19, this project was delayed and moved to 2021. There is new grant money and the state is very clear in wanting to get this grant money spent because of being last year's dollars spent this year. The construction schedule provides the urgency to keep this project moving forward.

Motion by Mr. Poindexter, supported by Mr. Scott, to have proper legislation drafted for the amphitheater and place on the June 15th Caucus prior to agenda.

ROLL CALL: AYES: Poindexter, Scott, Schmuck, Orcutt, Mencini, Salvatore

NAYS: None. The motion carried.

4. 2022 TAX BUDGET (Finance Director Cingle).

Mr. Cingle stated this is an annual requirement for Council to approve the tax budget and later this year a resolution will be forthcoming to Council to certify the tax rates presented in this tax budget. The rates have not changed and this is a time-sensitive document to be in the budget commission office by July 20th.

Motion by Mr. Salvatore, supported by Mr. Mencini, to place on the next Council agenda under verbal approval.

ROLL CALL: AYES: Salvatore, Mencini, Poindexter, Orcutt, Schmuck, Scott

NAYS: None. The motion carried.

SERVICE COMMITTEE - CHAIRMAN, SALVATORE:

1. AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO TRADE-IN THE CITY'S SUPER STAR GROOMER WITH ATTACHMENTS AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY. Introduced by Mayor Gammella.

Motion by Mr. Poindexter, supported by Mr. Orcutt, to amend Section 2 by deleting the amount of \$1500.00 and insert \$1550.00.

Members of Council present voted AYE, no opposition, unanimous vote.

Motion by Mr. Scott, supported by Mrs. Schmuck, to place on the next Council agenda, as amended.

ROLL CALL: AYES: Scott, Schmuck, Orcutt, Mencini, Poindexter, Salvatore

NAYS: None. The motion carried.

Motion by Mr. Salvatore, supported by Mr. Mencini, to move to the Addendum.

ROLL CALL: AYES: Salvatore, Mencini, Poindexter, Orcutt, Schmuck, Scott

NAYS: None. The motion carried.

DISCUSSION:

1. REQUEST APPROVAL FOR A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT AT 15344 SNOW ROAD LOCATED IN THE U-3B ZONE TO OPERATE BIGGBY COFFEE (Councilman Orcutt).

Mr. Orcutt stated this appeared at last night's Planning Commission meeting to approve the former PNC Bank for approval of Biggby Coffee. The only condition the commission changed is where the order board was closer to Snow Road, entering the parking lot on the east side. The commission moved the order board north placing at a 45-degree angle facing more Circle K gas station and insurance company abutting. The commission voted unanimously for the conditional use permit.

Mr. Mencini stated to Mr. Orcutt at Dunkin Donuts on Snow Road there is traffic backing up onto Snow Road; what would stop backup of traffic for Biggby Coffee?

Mr. Orcutt responded that discussion did come up at the meeting and what was mentioned is this coffee shop may divide customers going to Dunkin Donuts vs. Biggby where some traffic may come off of Snow Road. The city engineer provided recommendations to move the order board more to the north.

Mr. Mencini stated to Mr. Cingle on an income level between PNC Bank and Biggby Coffee would the city gain or lose monies?

Mr. Cingle responded there will be a loss don't know how much due to not knowing how many employees and pay wages.

Addendum: cont.

Mr. Salvatore asked Mr. Orcutt if the hours of operation were discussed?

Mr. Orcutt responded generally the hours would be from 5:00 a.m. to mid-to-late evening. Also, the ATM (automatic teller machine) for PNC will remain active with two lanes coming into the parking lot on the east side; with the drive-thru will be south of the ATM. The company has a seven (7) year commitment to stay there.

Mr. Salvatore asked if there was any discussion about them obtaining a liquor permit?

Mr. Orcutt responded no, this establishment doesn't do any cooking it's coffee and packaged foods.

Mr. Vecchio commented the coffee is pretty good the one in Amherst is quite busy.

Motion by Mr. Salvatore, supported by Mr. Poindexter, to have the proper legislation drafted.

ROLL CALL: AYES: Salvatore, Poindexter, Mencini, Orcutt, Schmuck, Scott
NAYS: None. The motion carried.

Motion by Mr. Mencini, supported by Mrs. Schmuck, to go back to regular order of business.

ROLL CALL: AYES: Mencini, Schmuck, Orcutt, Scott, Salvatore, Poindexter
NAYS: None. The motion carried.

There being no further business to come before this meeting a **motion** by Mr. Mencini, supported by Mrs. Schmuck, to adjourn.

ROLL CALL: AYES: Mencini, Schmuck, Orcutt, Scott, Salvatore, Poindexter
NAYS: None. The motion carried.

Mr. Vecchio declared this meeting adjourned at 8:58 p.m.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED *Michelle Blazak*
Michelle Blazak
Clerk of Council

APPROVED *September 15, 2021*
As Amended

THESE MEETING MINUTES APPROVED BY BROOK PARK CITY COUNCIL ARE A SYNOPSIS, NOT TRANSCRIBED IN THEIR ENTIRETY, ALTHOUGH ACCURATE.
5,339 words